

## CITY OF NEW YORK MANHATTAN COMMUNITY BOARD FOUR

424 W. 33<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 580 New York, NY 10001 tel: 212-736-4536 fax: 212-947-9512 www.nyc.gov/mcb4

## JEFFREY LEFRANCOIS Chair

JESSE R. BODINE District Manager

February 10, 2023

Ed Pincar Manhattan Borough Commissioner NYC Department of Transportation 59 Maiden Lane, 37<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10038

## **Re: DOT CarShare Program**

Dear Borough Commissioner Pincar,

Manhattan Community Board 4 (MCB4) at its February 1<sup>st</sup> Full Board meeting, by a vote of 41 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstaining, and 0 present but not eligible, voted to oppose the proposed locations for designated parking spaces for Getaround's car-sharing vehicles:

- 229 Ninth Avenue (corner 24<sup>th</sup> Street)
- 402 West 22<sup>nd</sup> Street (corner 9<sup>th</sup> Avenue)
- 270 West 21<sup>st</sup> Street (corner 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue)
- 100 Eighth Avenue (corner 15<sup>th</sup> Street)
- 301A West 29<sup>th</sup> Street (corner of 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue)

We object to these locations principally because they are at the intersections, which is in direct conflict with MCB4 and DOT Daylighting policy for safe crosswalks. A parked vehicle so close to the crosswalks impedes pedestrians' visibility to drivers crossing the intersection. These busy intersections would be better served by daylighting neck-downs and the installation of bike racks. The proposed locations are also heavily concentrated in one of the wealthiest parts of our district, Chelsea; more appropriate locations would be closer to NYCHA buildings or in Far West Hell's Kitchen¹ which would better meet the stated equity goals of the program.

Overall, the presentation left us with more questions about the program than answers. The stated public benefits of the program – equitable access to vehicles, lowering the rate of car ownership,

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 17<sup>th</sup>. 18<sup>th</sup>. 19<sup>th</sup>. 26<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup> Streets (9/10) 50<sup>th</sup>, 51<sup>st</sup>, 54<sup>th</sup>, 55<sup>th</sup> Streets (10/11)

and lower carbon emissions – do not seem to be served by these locations or the program as proposed. The proposed locations do not meet the goals of equitable access to vehicles since they are in fairly affluent areas. Our district already has a low rate of car ownership. The environmental goals would be better served by an all-electric fleet rather than a combustion fleet, especially given the short-term nature of car-sharing usage. Were you to move to an EV fleet, we would also have to consider the suitability of any proposed locations for charging stations. The presentation also set out criteria for locating vehicles such as proximity to other modes of transit, yet the proposed locations are not especially connective.

One potential benefit not presented could be the reduction of vehicle miles travelled by using locally-suited car-sharing vehicles instead of ride-shares or taxis. We would like to hear of any research that demonstrate such a benefit.

We understand that the set fee for the company to use a single curbside parking space for is \$475 per year, which seems like extraordinary favoritism to a single private company. While it was noted that the hourly rate for Getaround vehicles is "as low as" \$5 per hour in other markets, without an annual fee, the actual rates for sedans in Manhattan are around \$11 per hour when in a parking garage with gas refill as an additional cost. Restaurants pay far more for curbside sheds, for instance, and other car-sharing companies enter into agreements with private parking lot operators.

As far as curb usage is concerned, there is a demonstrable need for more neighborhood loading zones in our district as identified in our curb space survey; we see delivery vehicles impeding traffic and causing dangerous conflicts.

In the discussion of types of vehicles to be deployed, there were objections to SUVs since these large and high-striking models pose dangers to pedestrians and cyclists alike. There was a stated preference for compact vehicles and perhaps a limited number of vans to facilitate group travel and/or moves.

Finally the data provided on utilization during the pilot period (2018 to now) suggests that it was quite low (24 trips per month—less than one usage per vehicle per day) but still higher when located in a garage. Was there a benchmark for utilization set in order to consider expanding the program in New York City? The results of a two-year pilot do not seem unequivocal.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey LeFrancois

Chair

Manhattan Community

Board 4

Christine Berthet

Co-chair

/ Ber/he

**Transportation Planning** 

Committee

Dale Corvino

Co-chair

Transportation Planning

Committee

Cc: Getaround Car Sharing Company