



**CITY OF NEW YORK
COMMUNITY BOARD NO. 2, MANHATTAN**

3 Washington Square Village • New York, New York 10012-1899 • (212) 979-2272 • FAX (212) 254-5102
Greenwich Village • Little Italy • Soho • Noho

Alan Jay Gerson
Chair

FULL BOARD MINUTES

Oscar J. Gonzalez
Vice-Chair

DATE: January 22, 1998

James M. Smith
Vice-Chair

TIME: 7:00 P.M.

Lora J. Tenenbaum
Secretary

PLACE: St. Vincent's Hospital, 170 W. 12th Street
Smith Pavilion, cross over to Cronin Auditorium,
10th Floor

Doris B. Nash
Assistant Secretary

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Ann Arlen, Tobi Bergman, Donna Blau, Enid Braun, Glenn Bristow, Charle J. Cafiero, Keith Crandell, Caroline Crumpacker, Anthony Dapolito, Doris Diether, Lise Esdaile, Carol Feinman, Jonathan Geballe, Alan Jay Gerson, Chair, Community Board #2, Man. (CB#2-Man.); Edward Gold, Oscar J. Gonzalez, Arnold L. Goren, Ben Green,

Carol S. Reichman
Treasurer

Arthur W. Strickler
District Manager

Anne Hearn, Anthony Hoffman, Rachel Lavine, Aubrey Lees, Melissa Mark-Viverito, Rosemary McGrath, Penny Mintz, Michael Mirisola, Doris Nash, Carol Reichman, Arthur Z. Schwartz, Ruth Sherlip, Sharon Slotnick, Verna Small, James Smith, Sean Sweeney, Lora Tenenbaum, Martin Tessler, Carol Yankay, Betty Williams, Jeanne Wilcke.

BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED: Veronica Johnson, Honi Klein, Shirley Secunda

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: Ronald Cohn, Harriet Fields, Emily Giske, Nina Herzog, Helen Iannello, Deborah Coleman-Mann, Debra Sandler

BOARD STAFF PRESENT: Arthur Strickler, District Manager.

GUESTS: Maggie Nachlin, Congressman Jerrold Nadler's office; Mary Joo, of Senator Catherine Abate's office; Yvonne Morrow, Assembly Speaker Sheldon Silver's office; Maureen Casey, Assemblymember Deborah Glick's Office; Scott Melvin, of Councilmember Tom Duane's office; Councilmember Kathryn Freed; Noah Pfefferblit, of Man. Borough President C. Virginia Field's office; Kathy Deegan, Robert McBrien, Reouen Barzilai, Bob Gustafson, Mary K. Doris, Betsy Mickel, Chardee Raymond, Bob Rinaolo, John Krug, Karin Ginsberg, Jane Gaddis, Warren Smith, J. Tomb, Martha Rankin, Allison Walsh, Betty Rinckwitz, Michael Swirsky, Eliza Bradley, Toshiko Mori, Sheila Choi, Betsy Crenshaw, Jan Klocersen, Albert Bennett, Greg Lambert, Tony Goldman.

MEETING SUMMARY

Meeting Date - January 22, 1998
Board Members Present - 39
Board Members Excused - 3
Board Members Absent - 7

I. SUMMARY AND INDEX

ATTENDANCE.....1
MEETING SUMMARY.....1
SUMMARY AND INDEX.....1
PUBLIC SESSION.....2
ADOPTION OF AGENDA.....2
ELECTED OFFICIALS' REPORTS.....2
 ADOPTION OF MINUTES.....2
 EXECUTIVE SESSION.....2
 STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS.....3
 LANDMARKS.....3
 YOUTH.....9
 WATERFRONT.....9
 ENVIRONMENT.....16
 ZONING.....17
 BUSINESS & INSTITUTIONS.....18
 JOINT SIDEWALKS, PUBLIC FACILITIES & ACCESS AND
 TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION.....20
 SIDEWALKS, PUBLIC FACILITIES AND ACCESS.....20
 PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE.....21
 TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION.....21
 ROLL CALL.....23

Entered on cards

WHEREAS we are in the process of gathering and analyzing information and data from various sources, including but not limited to agencies currently serving this population such as New York University School of Social Work, Greenwich House, Hetrick Martin Institute and Safe Space among others, all of which have indicated an interest in working with CB#2-Man.; and

WHEREAS the Task Force has concluded that there is an urgent, compelling need for an expansion of services for homeless youth;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Homeless Youth Task Force of the Youth Committee of CB#2-Man. supports in principle the development of programs to address this serious and critical problem of homeless youth.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

2. Mayor's Office Omission of Community Boards on Web Site

WHEREAS the Mayor's office has established a web site on the Internet to provide the public with information on government agencies; and

WHEREAS Community Boards and Community Board officers provide important and often vital resources and services to the public on community conditions; but

WHEREAS the new web site omits any reference whatsoever to Community Boards, which constitutes a great disservice to the public.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Mayor's Office add "contact information" for the City's Community Boards and their offices; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all City communication about our system of municipal government contain complete and accurate information about the integrated role of Community Boards in the governance of New York City.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Boards members in favor.

District Manager's Report.

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS

LANDMARKS

PUBLIC HEARING: LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION, January 27, 1998

1. **LPC Item: 7 - 29 Greene Street - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A cast-iron store built in 1877-78. Application is to install a flagpole and banner.

WHEREAS this building is modest in scale and height; and

WHEREAS the flagpole is of appropriate length and is secured in the wooden window sill; but,

WHEREAS the size of the proposed banner, measuring 6' by 6', is out of scale with this three-story building and more befits a larger building located on a much wider sidewalk;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application because the flag is out of scale with the building.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

2. **LPC Item: 8 - 101-105 Greene Street - SoHo Cast-Iron H.D.** A garage built in 1957 and an Italianate style commercial building built in 1879 designed by Henry Fernbach. Application is to demolish the garage and construct a new 7-story building and to construct rooftop and rear yard additions at 103 Greene Street.

WHEREAS the applicant plans to demolish an undistinguished one-story garage building and in its place reconstruct the original five-story cast-iron building designed by Henry Fernbach which was on the site but had burned down in the 1950's;

WHEREAS this work benefits the existing building next door at 105 Greene Street, since both buildings were designed as a unit; and

WHEREAS the new building, along with the extant companion building, will re-create the attractive central double bay intended by the original architect, forming a massive central core that balances the structure; and

WHEREAS cast iron will be used in the construction, which is rarely done currently; and

WHEREAS a rooftop penthouse addition will be set back and not visible from any public thoroughfare; and

WHEREAS recommendation of approval of this landmarks application does not mean recommendation of approval of any proposed use;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that **CB#2-Man.** recommends approval of this application and commends the preservation efforts involved.

Vote: Passed, with 37 Board members in favor and 2 abstentions.

3. **LPC Item: 9 - 107-111 Greene Street SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** An early 20th century style commercial building built in 1923. Application is to add five floors to the building and construct a rear yard addition.

WHEREAS the existing yellow-brick building was built to replace a more elaborate building that was destroyed by fire in the 1920's; and

WHEREAS the building is simple and utilitarian in design with garage style multi-pane windows on the second story and showroom windows on the ground floor, which formation does not offer an architect much to work with; and

WHEREAS the proposal to extend the facade several stories by repeating the second story windows makes sense, but unfortunately gives the appearance of a multi-story garage or an industrial building not in context with the Historic District; and

WHEREAS a facade of cast iron would not work in this case, considering the base upon which it would have to be built; and

WHEREAS recommendation of approval of this landmarks application does not mean recommendation of approval of any proposed use;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that **CB#2-Man.** recommends approval of this application.

Vote: Passed, with 37 Board members in favor 1 in opposition and 1 abstention.

4. **LPC Item: 10 - 121-123 Greene St. - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A warehouse built in designed by Henry Fernbach. Application is to legalize the installation of two flagpoles and banners without LPC permits.

WHEREAS there were community complaints about the size and placement of these banners and flagpoles on this building which underwent an extensive facade restoration under a 74-711 application ten years ago; and

WHEREAS adjacent buildings have also gone through similar and extensive restorations which have contributed to the character of the Historic District; and

WHEREAS all the legal banners on that block are of an appropriate and modest size; and

WHEREAS photographs were presented to the committee which showed how these oversized banners overwhelmed and obscured the facade of the applicant building; and

WHEREAS photographs showed that the facades of the adjacent buildings were also seriously blocked from the view of the casual passer-by or an interested observer; and

WHEREAS these flagpoles unfortunately appear to be anchored into the cast iron; and

WHEREAS the applicant failed to appear before the community board for two consecutive months, twice by-passing an important step in the review process;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

5. **LPC Item: 11 - 478 Broadway - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A neo-Grec style store and loft building built in 1873. Application is to install an awning, signs and flagpole, and repaint entrance doors to replace work done without LPC permits.

WHEREAS the applicant will install retractable awnings of canvas material; and

WHEREAS roll-down security gates will be installed behind the storefront windows; and

WHEREAS signage attached to the facade will be painted, with painted metal lettering; and

WHEREAS the yellow commercial signage will not be illuminated; and

WHEREAS this is one of three storefronts in that building, more or less matching each other in design; but,

WHEREAS the door and risers will be painted an inappropriate emergency yellow color which will be seen easily from the sidewalk and across Broadway; and

WHEREAS the other two front doors are varnished and clash with the yellow door; and

WHEREAS the signage band for the three stores should share a more uniform color palette;

WHEREAS traces of yellow paint still remain on the stone sidewalk; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this otherwise commendable legalization, due to the garish yellow door and front stairs and lack of uniformity in the signage of each of the three storefronts in this building.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

6. **LPC Item: 12 - 486 Broadway - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A Queen Anne style store and loft building built in 1882. Application is to legalize painting the facade without LPC permits.

WHEREAS this attractive red brick building has been painted red on the lower floors in a well-intentioned, but ill-advised, attempt to remove graffiti; and

WHEREAS a coat of white primer has been applied to the upper stories; and

WHEREAS there are ways of controlling graffiti which are not so drastic;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

7. **LPC Item: 13 - 502-503 Broadway - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A commercial building built in 1860. Application is to legalize the installation of light fixtures without LPC permits.

WHEREAS the Landmarks Preservation Commission's publication The Certificate of Appropriateness Public Hearing: Information for Applicants states that "applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the Community Board to arrange for review of the proposal before the public hearing"; and

WHEREAS the applicant contacted the Community Board after the landmarks committee meeting requesting an opportunity to present the application at a later date;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application in the absence of this important step in the review process, but recommends that application be laid over to give the applicant a chance next month to meet with the community board.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

8. **LPC Item: 14 - 518 Broadway - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.**

WHEREAS the Landmarks Preservation Commission's publication The Certificate of Appropriateness Public Hearing: Information for Applicants states that "applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the Community Board to arrange for review of the proposal before the public hearing"; and

WHEREAS the applicant did not contact the Community Board or appear before the Landmarks Committee to present this application;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application in the absence of this important step in the review process;

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

9. **LPC Item: 15 - 92 Prince Street - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A parking lot. Application is to construct a one-story plus mezzanine.

WHEREAS this new construction intends to be referential in style, not historical; and

WHEREAS the materials will be painted steel with glass windows; and

WHEREAS the main columns will have stone bases; and

WHEREAS there will be retractable canvas awnings; and

WHEREAS this building will add to the character of the district; and

WHEREAS this application will be a welcomed infill to the current gap in the building line of that popular corner;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

10. **LPC Item: 16 - 583 Broadway - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A store and loft building built in 1917. Application is to install a flagpole and banner, and a bulletin board.

WHEREAS the flagpole will be attached at joints on the 2nd-story ledge, which will not damage the stonework; and

WHEREAS the banner is 17 feet long, but only 3 feet 6 inches wide; and

WHEREAS the banner is angled 45i which wisely is being employed to maximize the surface area of the banner, while minimally blocking the facade from public view; and

WHEREAS this is a massive and tall building on a wide street with a broad sidewalk; and

WHEREAS the banner and flagpole are in scale with their surroundings; and

WHEREAS the bulletin board is only 18" by 24", surface mounted on a square stone column; but

WHEREAS the Committee felt that placing even such a modest size bulletin board would unnecessarily interfere with an appreciation of the column;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of this flagpole and banner, but recommends denial for the application to place the bulletin board on the main column.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

11. **LPC Item: 17 - 599 Broadway - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A store and loft building built in 1917. Application is to remove portions of a painted metal sculpture.

WHEREAS the management company for 599 Broadway informed CB#2-Man. that it received notification of the public hearing for its application but would not attend, an unprecedented move and a rebuff to the landmarks process; and

WHEREAS pioneering SoHo artist Forest Myers did appear before the committee to report that the management wished to remove his sculpture to probe for an alleged unsafe condition in the building braces; and

WHEREAS Mr. Myers is recognized as an important contemporary artist, as well as a founding and essential member of the SoHo art scene by his peers, the media, and cultural institutions such as the prestigious Chicago Institute of Art, MOMA and the Municipal Arts Society; and

WHEREAS this work of art is protected by the Federal Visual Artists Rights Act which prohibits the removal or destruction of works of significance artistic or cultural importance; and

WHEREAS Houston Street corridor has become plagued with the spread of huge garish advertising signs that many feel diminish the character of the district; and

WHEREAS yet another advertising sign would surely follow if this sculpture were permanently removed; and

WHEREAS the Myers' sculpture is recognized worldwide as the gateway to the SoHo Cast-Iron Historic District and its cultural importance has been well documented in the arts press and the general press; and

WHEREAS the Myers' sculpture adds to the historic character of the district; and

WHEREAS at a recent SoHo Alliance meeting over a hundred artists present were appalled at the prospect of the removal of this prominent work of art, a sentiment shared by just about everyone who has been apprised of these developments; and

WHEREAS the original management welcomed this art work on their wall as an asset which increased the worth of the property, this current management has allowed this landmark to be destroyed by neglect over the last decade by encouraging its use by various graffiti artists, partial removal of portions of the sculpture, etc.; and

WHEREAS it is reported that management plans to check for damage by removing the metal portion of the sculpture, but will not replace them even if no damage is found; and

WHEREAS we welcome an investigatory probe by an engineer to verify the conditions of the facade; and

WHEREAS the question of the integrity of the building is raised if the channel irons which hold the sculpture are removed;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends repair of any damage, as long as it is understood that the management must restore this landmark work of art to its original condition upon completion of any repairs.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

12. **LPC Item: 18 - 579 Broadway - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** One of three Italianate style store and loft buildings built in 1860. Application is to replace a storefront.

WHEREAS this is a charming storefront in style and proportion to the building as it now exists; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes removing two cast iron columns and switching around the windows and doors in order to have a larger display window for the store; and

WHEREAS this would make the existing symmetrical formation asymmetrical;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

13. **LPC Item: 19 - 157 Spring Street - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.**

WHEREAS the Landmarks Preservation Commission's publication The Certificate of Appropriateness Public Hearing: Information for Applicants states that

"applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the Community Board to arrange for review of the proposal before the public hearing";

WHEREAS the applicant did not contact the Community Board or appear before the Landmarks Committee to present this application;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application in the absence of this important step in the review process;

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

14. **LPC Item: 20 - 128 Wooster Street - SoHo Cast Iron H.D.** A store and tenement building built in 1852. Application is to modify ground floor and sidewalk alterations which were executed without LPC permits.

WHEREAS lowering the bluestone curb in front of the store to sidewalk level will enhance pedestrian safety on this crowded street; and

WHEREAS the existing storefront will be removed and restored with materials and in a style that relate to the rest of the building; and

WHEREAS the windows will be recessed one foot, a feature common in other buildings in the district; and

WHEREAS the restoration of the brickwork and brownstone lintel will improve the architectural character of the building; but,

WHEREAS the lime green paint proposed may not be appropriate to the district; and,

WHEREAS the proposed new windows are in conflict in size with the existing original windows above, although they are an improvement from the current windows in proportion and balance with the building;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of this application while urging the Commission to ask the applicant to rethink the question of the storefront windows.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

15. **LPC Item: 21 - 75-79 Eighth Avenue - Individual landmark** A neo-Classical style bank built in 1907 and enlarged in 1926. Application is to create a doorway on 14th Street, construct a rooftop addition, create window openings, replace doors and alter the facade of the 1926 addition.

WHEREAS the applicant is to be commended for his work at restoring this building which has been empty for years and could suffer unnecessary decay if left in that condition; and

WHEREAS restorative work proposed for the 1926 addition is welcomed and appropriate, and an improvement to the existing condition; and

WHEREAS the bank building will be cleaned and re-painted; and

WHEREAS the wrought iron gate placed in front in the 1980's will be removed; and

WHEREAS industrial windows will be replaced with double panes; and

WHEREAS on 14th Street the four bays will see the addition of a new entrance at the last bay, with the pattern of the mullions of the windows in the bay carried down to the entrance door; and

WHEREAS a one-story rooftop addition set back 8 - 1/2 feet attempts to be sympathetic to the original building without trying to affect an historicity approach; but

WHEREAS this rooftop addition has been thought out aesthetically, it nevertheless interferes with the original architectural character of this structure, a temple form building; and

WHEREAS every other stone of the frieze will be removed to provide for windows for residential apartments, which will alter the fabric of the building; and

WHEREAS viewing the bank along the main axis at Greenwich Avenue, the rooftop addition in back will interfere with the profile of the pediment which should be a visual terminal point; and

WHEREAS the addition will destroy the existing roofline;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. praises the applicant's efforts in restoring this wonderful building and supports and encourages a redesign of the application with a more appropriate restoration.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

16. LPC Item: 22 - 502 Hudson Street - Greenwich Village H.D.

WHEREAS the Landmarks Preservation Commission's publication The Certificate of Appropriateness Public Hearing: Information for Applicants states that "applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the Community Board to arrange for review of the proposal before the public hearing";

WHEREAS the applicant did not contact the Community Board or appear before the Landmarks Committee to present this application;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application in the absence of this important step in the review process;

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

17. LPC Item: 23 - 445 Sixth Avenue a/k/a 99 West 10th - Greenwich Village H.D. One of a row of 8 residences with shops built in 1835. Application is to install signage and alter the sidewalk.

WHEREAS the hanging sign may be grandfathered; and

WHEREAS the proposal to impose the green color of the business is understandable but conflicts with the tan color presently in place on the signage band which provides a uniform color palette; and

WHEREAS the proposal to pave the sidewalk with bricks to delineate the cafe area from the public sidewalk for a proposed sidewalk cafe (which may or may not ever get licensed by the City) is out of character with any sidewalk in the Historic District and stands out from the sidewalk of the other stores;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

YOUTH

Endorsement of Greenwich Village Youth Council Move from Carmine Street to Little Italy.

See attached resolution.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

WATERFRONT

1. A Design Competition for Pier 40, Greenwich Village and Soho

Who determines what gets built in the public realm? State Agencies? City Hall? Developers? the neighboring community? the populous of the city at large?

For more than 20 years, the Community Board in Greenwich Village (Community Board 2, Man.) has lobbied for an open-space green park, free of commercial development, along the Hudson River. In New York, and especially in the Village and Soho, green space is at a premium. Indeed, Community Board 2, Man. ranks second-to-last in the amount of park space among community boards.

The Hudson River Park Conservancy (HRPC) and the Empire State Development Corporation (ESDC) are currently developing plans for a continuous park along the Hudson River from Battery Park City to 59th Street. One of the larger

spaces in this area is Pier 40, at the foot of West Houston Street. Pier 40 is a square pier, about four city blocks long, with a base of about 600,000 square feet. The pier itself is multi-leveled and presently has 1.2 million square feet of usable space. The proposals from HRPC/ESDC include three possible scenarios for Pier 40: an all green, one-level scenario; a scenario that retains the multi-story structure and uses some of the space for park some for commercial purposes; and an all-commercial scenario.

Community Board 2, Man. has historically opposed commercial options for the pier has called for an open-space green park on the pier, with the demolition of the existing building. To date, the Board has endorsed a plan put forth by the Federation to Preserve the Historic Greenwich Village Waterfront and Great Port which clearly articulates an open green space park with active and passive recreation uses. The plan was developed by landscape architect George Vellonakis in 1993 at the request of the Federation.

To further illustrate its commitment to an open-space green park on Pier 40, Community Board 2, Man. would like to take the lead in exploring additional design concepts that would accomplish this goal. To this end, Community Board 2, Man. is soliciting input from the many talented landscape architects, architects, designers and residents in our community and elsewhere. The purpose of the competition is to promote dialogue, discussion and awareness in the community as it relates to the future of Pier 40.

Site

History of the Greenwich Village Waterfront

From the beginning, the port was the driving force that built New York. Here was where the era of steam navigation began in 1807 with Robert Fulton's trip to Albany -- starting just three blocks north of the current site of Pier 40. The Erie Canal opened in 1825, bringing barges loaded with exports from the interior of the continent to New York for trans-shipment. The ships returned with imports, making New York a marketing and financial center. Immigrants arrived in such numbers that the city's population doubled from one generation to the next.

By the mid-1800s, the East River had become overly congested. Much of this activity moved to the Hudson, along with the focus of the port. Beginning in the early 1900s and continuing for roughly a half century, the Port of New York was the most active on earth and the Lower Hudson was its center.

Pier 40

When built (1952-62), Pier 40 was the largest structure in the world to be made of reinforced, pre-stressed concrete. It was also the largest single shipping terminal constructed in the United States and the most costly in the Port of New York. The pier was the first in Manhattan to be supported on steel piles, some 200 feet long, and all driven into bedrock.

Constructed by New York City's Department of Marine and Aviation, it was leased to the Holland-America line--one of the leading passenger and freight steamship companies at the time. Until then, the company had operated out of Hoboken. The transfer of the line brought New York City the greatest single addition to its maritime economy at any one time in its history. Holland-America operated seven passenger vessels and 28 freighters.

The pier extends for four blocks along the waterfront, replacing five finger piers built in the 1800's (Piers 38-41.) Four ocean-going ships could be accommodated simultaneously at the new pier, as well as 350 trucks. With passenger and freight operations on separate levels, passenger ships could be docked at a berth where a freighter had been unloaded only an hour earlier.

Described as the world's first completely "drive-in" passenger terminal, taxis and private cars could discharge and pick up passengers and visitors on the second level, opposite the ships' gangways while the lower deck's fifteen acres were devoted to cargo activities. The central court is larger than the playing field of Yankee Stadium. It included 125 truck stations with space for maneuvering, parking and handling up to 700 trucks daily.

Existing Conditions

Today, Pier 40 is used as a parking garage for more than 2,000 vehicles, for police department storage, truck and bus parking, a warehouse distribution center, and a movie production facility.

Structurally, the piles and deck of Pier 40 are in good condition. In 1988 the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PA) notified the community that Pier 40 could collapse and ordered the immediate removal of all cars and

stored materials. Sensors were attached with alarms to alert those on the pier in the event of a collapse. Since then, two efforts have been made to reinforce the pilings. In 1996, the pier underwent an extensive pile repair and jacketing executed by the PA. The most recent inspection indicates that the pier is now stable, but specified that work will be required later.

Base Drawings

Existing roof plan of pier, base plan for the structure, sections and elevations.

Program

Entrants are asked to design an open-space, green park for Pier 40.

The program enumerated in the plan currently endorsed by Community Board 2, Man. calls for: a continuous waterfront promenade along the perimeter of the pier, and passive and active recreation such as a running track, soccer field, basketball courts, and an elliptical open green divided into a baseball field, softball fields and a Little League field. Entrants are asked to use this program as a point of departure.

CB#2, Man. encourages entries which further explore the concept of an open green park. Entrants may propose strategies which differ from the Community Board's position. Designs may include the partial retention or redesign of the existing structure and/or the incorporation of a limited amount of revenue-producing activities. The jury will evaluate whether such designs enhance an otherwise open green park and the extent to which they enable or hinder park users' ability to relate to the Hudson River.

As part of the design submission, entrants should display proof of serious consideration to the types of plantings and materials which would be suitable to this environment.

In addition, CB#2, Man. is seeking ideas that may make us rethink and redesign the role of Pier 40 within the proposed Hudson River Park. To this end, entrants are asked to submit ideas and written concepts only on a maximum of five (5) single-side pages (8/12 by 11) in English. All other rules (except for the entry fee) and requirements relevant to the design competition will apply.

Submission Requirements

Drawings

Site Plan: (Scale 1"=50')

Three-dimensional views (perspective, axonometric, computer rendering or photographs of models) showing the major design elements for the pier

Partial sections through the pier (Scale 1"=1/16")

Additional three-dimensional views documenting supplemental aspects of the plan.

Text

A project statement of 50 words minimum (in English) is to be included on the front of the board.

The text should clearly and succinctly state how the project enhances the quality of life of Community Board 2's residents and the waterfront's public realm.

Board

All entries shall be presented on two 30"x40" boards, with the 30" sides oriented vertically. The boards should have a maximum thickness of 1/4" and weigh no more than 10 lbs. The backs of the competition boards should be free of screws and other projections which might damage other entries when the boards are stored or transported.

Identification

Do not put any information on the board that indicates the designer(s). All boards will be numbered upon receipt to ensure anonymity during the review process. Please see submission form for further details.

Jury

A jury comprised of the following list will be established:

A representative from the West Village Houses Tenants Association
Elizabeth Meyer, Chair, Landscape Architecture, University of Virginia
*James Stewart Polshek, Principal, James Stewart Polshek and Partners
Stan Allen, Architect and Professor, Columbia University

*Leslie Gill, Architect and Professor, Parsons School of Architecture and Environmental Design
Robert Kennedy, Jr, National Resources Defense Council
*Kevin Bone, Professor, Cooper Union / Author, New York's Waterfront

*Lives and/or works within CB#2 area.

Date of Jury

To be determined based on date of announcement and publication.

Prizes

The jury will award a first, second and third prize as well as an unspecified number of honorable mentions. The jury may also decide to select features from various entries for prizes and recommend a combination of such features as the best plan.

Monetary prizes will be determined based on the number of entries.

The jury will not include any members of Community Board 2, Man. The jury's findings or recommendations will in no way bind Community Board 2, Man., which reserves the right to endorse or reject any or none of the entries or components thereof.

Deadlines

Pre-Registration

Date to be determined

A \$25 non-refundable entry fee is required to register for the competition. To request a packet please send a \$25 check payable to Community Board 2, Man. along with your name, mailing address, phone number and e-mail (if applicable) to Pier 40 Design Competition, Community Board 2, Man., 3 Washington Square Village, Suite 1-A, New York, NY 10012

Information Session

Date to be determined

Sponsors of the competition will host one information session which may include a tour of Pier 40 and the Greenwich Village Waterfront. Participants are encouraged to attend the session and ask questions of the panelists. Entrants unable to attend the event may request a transcript by sending a self-addressed, stamped envelope with one dollar postage to Pier 40 Design Competition, Community Board 2, Man., 3 Washington Square Village, Suite 1-A, New York, NY 10012

Questions

Questions regarding the competition must be submitted by mail to Community Board 2, Man. no later than (date to be determined). No questions will be answered after this date. Questions submitted will be assembled into a document and mailed to all registered entrants. No questions will be answered by phone or person. Answers to the questions will be also posted on the Van Alen Institute website www.vanalen.org.

Entries Due at the Van Alen Institute

Date to be determined

All entries must be accompanied by a submission form. If you did not receive a submission form with this packet please call (212) 979-2272.

Announcement of Winners

Date to be determined

Return of Entries

All entries will become the property of Community Board 2, Man. which reserves the rights of publication and media dissemination, either in whole or in part of any and all entries. The sponsors assume no responsibility for damaged or lost competition entries and reserve the right to retain any and all entries. All entrants will be informed of opportunities to retrieve their submission. Do not contact CB#2, Man. about the retrieval of entries prior to the closing of the exhibition. Competitors should make a permanent record of their entry prior to submission.

Eligibility

The competition is open to all individuals, teams and firms who would like to enter. Only one entry will be accepted per registration fee. Entrants wishing to submit more than one project will need to preregister for each scheme they intend to enter. Members of CB#2-Man. as well as employees and contractors of Empire State Development Corporation and the Hudson River Park Conservancy are not eligible to enter.

Sponsor

This competition is sponsored by Community Board 2, Man. which represents Soho, Noho, Little Italy and Greenwich Village. CB#2, Man. reserves the right to not endorse the finalist(s) selected by the jury. The results of the competition will be submitted to the Waterfront Committee for a public hearing and recommendation to the full Board.

All entries will be displayed at various community settings, open to the public for review and comment.

Acknowledgments

The sponsor would like to acknowledge the following organizations which have assisted in the competition to date:

The Federation to Preserve the Greenwich Village Waterfront and Great Port for providing program information about the scheme currently endorsed by the Community Board.

Van Alen Institute for providing managerial, logistical and overall professional guidance on the structure and conduct of the design competition.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

2. Proposed City Zoning text amendment to parks in manufacturing districts on the waterfront area (N980175ZRM).

WHEREAS the City Planning Commission has proposed a zoning text amendment, which would allow parks and playgrounds to be located in the M2 and M3 districts in the waterfront area along the Hudson River south of 59th Street; and

WHEREAS the proposed amendments will facilitate the construction of the Hudson River Park;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends adoption of the proposed zoning text amendment on the condition that:

- a) the only "private" parks permitted in the affected area be state run parks. This Board is opposed to other private parks on the waterfront;
- b) this zoning text amendment in no way impedes the possibility of mapping the area as park by act of the State Legislature;
- c) this zoning text amendment drops the provision which allows or requires closing any section of the park or provisions principally applicable to private parks such as those that required closing of the park from dusk to dawn;
- d) that this zoning change in no way dictates any of the choices made about the final shape of the Hudson River Park or exempt any public action on the waterfront from the SEQRA or ULURP process; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2, Man. prefers that waterfront zoning be changed to allow only for park uses, as previously set forth in CB#2-Man.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 members in favor.

3. DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. believes that the proposed stretch of Hudson River Park within its boundary holds great potential in terms of the total amount of green park space which can be made available to the public; and

WHEREAS the Village-Soho waterfront will be maximally used as a park space if the revenue needs of the Hudson River Park, if any, are absorbed either by government or through maximizing the commercial potential of several of the passenger ship terminals which have been taken out of the Park; and

WHEREAS use of the Hudson River Park should be as unimpeded as possible by noise and pollution;

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, with respect to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement ("DEIS") that CB#2-Man. recommends that the draft EIS be amended to specifically analyze the following issues so that the lead agency can fully consider means by which to concretely mitigate adverse environmental impacts created by the park plan:

- a) Alternatives to the use of park land for parking, car access or bus layovers, such as a plan for increased public transit access and parking only on the east side of Route 9A;
- b) The additional transient parking which will follow construction of the park, and the different impacts of transient vs. existing long-term parking uses in the waterfront area;
- c) The reintroduction of Piers 88, 90, 92 and 94 into the Park, so that their marine facilities, parking areas and potential revenue-producing uses can improve the Park's maritime facilities and traffic impacts and to enhance the Park's potential for generating operation and maintenance revenues without commercial use of Pier 40. This would include a study of the adaptive reuse of those piers for tour boats and ferries presently programmed for other piers in the park further south, and for visitor parking, combined with a jitney service for park visitors; such a study shall not make recommendations contrary to the resolutions of CB#4 and the Clinton Community about how to utilize these piers;
- d) The use of the St. Johns Building and other non-waterfront facilities as alternatives to Pier 40 as a parking facility;
- e) The impact of having no heliport facility in the Park;
- f) The identification and impact of moving the sanitation garage and sort site on the Gansevoort Peninsula to alternative sites both on a temporary and long-term basis;
- g) The restoration and/or rebuilding of the full length of all finger piers as an alternative to the use of partial piers and the creation of ecological piers;
- h) The impact that the actual construction of the park will have on waterfront-adjacent communities, with an analysis of the construction staging plan, the use of alternative construction techniques, the creation of a Community Construction Advisory Committee, and state-of-the-art mitigation techniques to protect our air and water quality and to protect against noise pollution, hazardous materials, and rodents during construction;
- i) The noise-related and air quality impacts of motorized boats;
- j) Pedestrian safety, including an analysis of the time needed for pedestrians to safely cross Route 9A;
- k) Provisions for public safety including police and EMS access, police "sub-stations," and emergency call boxes;
- l) The construction and governance of the proposed park by a State and/or City agency, rather than by the Urban Development Corporation (a/k/a ESDC);
- m) The financing and operation of the proposed park by means other than generating revenue within the park;
- n) The impact of revenue-generating activities within the park on the inland business community;
- o) Whether the park and its uses will cause additional traffic to flow through the surrounding inland community and, if so, whether this will result in an adverse impact and whether such adverse impact can be mitigated;
- p) A more flexible design program for all piers, so as not to limit various piers to active or passive use;
- q) How the proposed plan compromises eligibility for entry of the bulkhead in The National Register of Historic places, and the impact that loss of eligibility would have on park funding; and
- r) The traffic impact on upland streets of the proposal to mitigate traffic problems at Pier 40 by not allowing upland left turns.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

3A. HUDSON RIVER PARK LEGISLATION

See attached resolution.

Vote: Failed. See substitute resolution below.

3. HUDSON RIVER PARK LEGISLATION

WHEREAS, State Senator Franz Leichter and Assembly Member Richard Gottfried have circulated, for comment, a draft of a bill addressed to the construction of a waterfront park on the Hudson River Waterfront between Battery Place and 59th Street; and

WHEREAS, the communities adjacent to the waterfront have a dire need for additional park and recreation space which can be met by a genuine, open, green Hudson River Park; and

WHEREAS, the creation of such a park on the Hudson River waterfront can open a whole new relationship between the waterfront communities and the Hudson River and promote an environmentally sound waterfront, compatible with the upland communities; and

WHEREAS, there currently exist insufficient legal protection against commercial development on the aforescribed waterfront and no legal requirement that a park be built on any or all of that area; and

WHEREAS, restrictions and requirements set forth in the draft Leichter-Gottfried bill take an important step in the direction of preventing commercial development on the Hudson River Waterfront and of allowing only park uses on many of the piers in the jurisdiction of the proposed park, and of providing protection for the river's marine environment; and

WHEREAS, CB#2-Man. maintains its long-held position in support of an open green park on its Hudson River waterfront; and

WHEREAS, CB#2-Man. conducted a public hearing on the draft Leichter-Gottfried bill attended by over 300 people as well as Senators Franz Leichter and Catherine Abate and Assembly Members Richard Gottfried and Deborah Glick, at which the vast majority of speakers made critical comments about the bill, including the belief that the Trust created by the bill was insufficiently accountable to elected officials, that the park protections were insufficient, that the proposed transfer of title of the park land to the Trust failed to follow the SEQRA process and that the bill provided for too little community representation in park governance; and

WHEREAS, it is the view of CB#2-Man. that it should take a constructive role in the development of the legislation;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. cannot support the draft Leichter-Gottfried bill in the form considered at Community Board 2's public hearing and asks that it be revised and not be introduced in its current form; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. supports the following concepts of the Leichter-Gottfried bill and requests that they be included in any revised draft:

- a) the legislative requirement that many piers have only park uses;
- b) the creation of a marine sanctuary;
- c) the requirement that all revenue from commercial uses in the park be used for park maintenance and development; and
- d) the requirement that SEQRA, ULURP, and all zoning laws remain applicable to the park and any agency running the park; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. calls upon Senator Leichter and Assembly Member Gottfried to include the following additional provisions in any revised draft:

- a) Include park designations for the entirety of all of the piers in our community board area;
- b) Maintain title of the waterfront in the City and State, respectively, over the area they currently own;
- c) Establish a joint City-State park governing entity that is not an authority or public benefit corporation, to guide the construction and operation of the Hudson River Park, with no authority to issue bonds or exercise eminent domain power, with a governing body which serves at the pleasure of elected officials, whose decisions may be vetoed by elected officials, and which includes expanded community representation on the governing body (i.e., a greater number of appointees, residing in waterfront-adjacent communities, by the Manhattan Borough President);
- d) Require application of the SEQRA process not only for any change of use but for a change in governance of the waterfront area;
- e) Provide for a fair share of financial support of the park, including maintenance and operations, from general City and State tax revenues so that park finances do not depend on commercial uses within the park, and require a periodic survey of the tax benefits of the park from off-site park-generated activity and property value increases;
- f) Set a time certain for ending Department of Sanitation uses on Gansevoort Peninsula;
- g) Prohibit the use of the waterfront along the Community Board #2, Man. area for the docking or mooring of any boat which contains any gambling paraphernalia, or for the docking or mooring of any boat, including a water taxi, which transports people to any boat which contains gambling paraphernalia; and

- h) Eliminate all references to "boosting tourism" as one of the goals of the legislation and the park.
- i) Restore Piers 88, 90, 92 and 94 to the park and require that all revenues from these passenger ship piers be utilized for park operations and maintenance, provided that the park uses for those piers be developed in accordance with the wishes of the Clinton community as expressed by Community Board 4.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

ENVIRONMENT

1. Planned Projects Which Threaten to Degrade the Quality of Our Drinking Water From the Kensico Reservoir and Watershed

WHEREAS drinking water for Manhattan and much of New York City flows through the Kensico Reservoir in Westchester County, north of White Plains; and

WHEREAS the purity of the water is endangered by large-scale development within the watershed area as exemplified by the following current projects:

- 1. Highway expansion: The State DOT proposes to double the capacity of Routes 22 and 120, both passing through the Kensico Watershed. Such expansion will add hugely to storm runoff, already a source of contamination, will encourage corporate site development and further degrade the watershed and the water supply serving CB#2-Man. and other areas.
- 2. Airport expansion: General Electric, which some years ago moved its headquarters from New York to Connecticut, leaving behind a toxic legacy of massive and continuing PCB contamination of the Hudson River, now seeks to despoil 12 acres of forest and wetland abutting and within the Kensico Watershed to expand the Westchester Airport, already a source of watershed contamination, so as to enable its large private jets to serve its headquarters in Fairfield, Conn.

WHEREAS opposition to these projects has been carried out by private citizens in New York City and Westchester and by environmental groups, with little help from government environmental agencies charged with protecting the public's health;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2 - Man. strongly urges Governor George Pataki to continue the watershed-protection initiatives he has already undertaken by:

- 1. Insisting that the State DOT carefully reassess and, if necessary, cancel the proposed major expansions of Routes 120 and Route 22 through the Kensico Watershed; and
- 2. Using the influence of his office to insist on a SEQRA (State Environmental Quality Review) of the proposed incursion of a private company, General Electric, into the forest and wetlands adjacent to Kensico Reservoir for use as a private airport to service its Connecticut headquarters.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly urges the Westchester County Board of Legislators, the General Electric Company, the State Department of Transportation, along with other private and government entities with responsibilities for these highway and airport plans which threaten Kensico Watershed and our community's water supply, to rethink their positions and come back with plans more responsible to the citizens of the region; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED And be it finally resolved that CB#2, Man. strongly urges the New York City Department of Environmental Protection, which is charged with the responsibility for protecting the city water supplies, to undertake its responsibilities with far more vigor than it has shown in these two instances.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

2. Croton Water Filtration Plant EIS

WHEREAS the New York City Charter provides that affected community boards must be included in the scoping process in which the scope of work for an Environmental Impact Statement is determined; and

WHEREAS New York City Department of Environmental Protection has for the last two years given affected communities to understand that it will proceed on a dual track in its analysis and planning for the Croton filtration plant, developing in parallel and in tandem a non-filtration track of alternatives for protecting drinking water quality -- a wise course of action which has been undertaken by other large municipalities; and

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has an unusually high immuno-compromised population, and much of the district receives Croton water, thus CB#2-Man. population has the potential to be greatly affected by demonstrated hazards in water filtration;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. urges the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP) to include CB#2-Man. in the scoping process for the proposed Croton Water Supply filtration plant at the earliest possible point; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. strongly urges NYCDEP to move ahead in good faith to carry out dual track analysis and planning for Croton Water Supply, thereby leaving open the possibility that City and State water rate-payers and taxpayers may yet be spared the enormous expense of a filtration plant, and water-drinkers may be spared the health costs of human and mechanical errors which will surely occur if the plant is built.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

ZONING

Wireless Telecommunications Equipment - A Land Use Perspective

WHEREAS wireless telecommunications equipment is being rapidly, and often clandestinely, installed in residential buildings throughout NYC and CB#2-Man. is receiving complaints about such installations in our districts; and

WHEREAS for example, SPRINT installed nine highly visible boxy consoles on the roof of 49 Morton Street, a residential building located in a Historic District; and

WHEREAS the wireless communications industry is not a utility like electric, gas or cable TV, and the siting of its equipment is probably not as-of-right, and should be subject to specific standards of placing and construction; and

WHEREAS the Department of City Planning and the Department of Buildings have not taken any noticeable action with respect to the siting and planning of wireless telecommunications equipment, even though the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act) was signed into law in February 1996;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. calls upon the City of NY to promptly establish standards of placement, construction and modification with respect to wireless telecommunications equipment so as to protect the residents of the community while at the same time accommodating the provisions of the Act; and in connection therewith to take the following actions:

- hold educational workshops and forums at which planners, local residents and industry representatives can discuss the development of cellular networks and installation of wireless telecommunications equipment in NYC;
- determine preferred land areas and structures for the installation of wireless telecommunications equipment and establish methods to encourage preferred installations;
- have wireless service providers file cellular network plans and update them annually;
- develop criteria for equipment siting and design including construction materials, colors, setback requirements, location of accessory equipment, lighting, etc.;
- develop criteria for our landmarked districts;
- develop criteria for a review of the visual impact;
- provide for the continued maintenance of any installed equipment; and
- have a requirement that the owner of the equipment remove all of it (including ancillary pieces) promptly when it is no longer needed.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

BUSINESS AND INSTITUTIONS

1. Applications to the SLA for New Licenses to Sell Liquor on Premises:

➤ **Martin's Bar & Grill, LLC, 228 West Houston St. NYC 10014 (east of Varick St. & thru to Downing St.)**

WHEREAS applicant is establishing a restaurant with a capacity of 74 persons and 30 tables; and

WHEREAS applicant's hours of operation are designated as 11 am to 12 midnight thereby denoting a bona-fide restaurant establishment where the serving of liquor is incidental and complementary to its main business of selling food; and

WHEREAS applicant has established that he is an experienced restaurateur and has operated some 13 restaurants with liquor licenses in the past with no evidence of incidences of public nuisances to the their respective neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS applicant's premises is not deemed to have any adverse impact on the surrounding community- there being no neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises for Martin's Bar & Grill, LLC, 228 West Houston St. NYC 10014.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

Singapour Louies, LLC, 9 9th Ave. NYC 10014 (cor. Little W. 12th St.)

WHEREAS applicant is establishing a restaurant with a capacity of 74 persons and 30 tables; and

WHEREAS applicant's hours of operation are designated as 11 AM to 12 midnight thereby denoting a bona-fide restaurant establishment where the serving of liquor is incidental and complementary to its main business of selling food; and

WHEREAS applicant's premises is not deemed to have any adverse impact on the surrounding community - there being no neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises for Singapour Louies, 9 9th Ave. NYC 10014.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

➤ **DNA, Inc. d/b/a XANDO, 504 Avenue of Americas, NYC 10003 (S/E/C 13th St.)**

WHEREAS applicant is proposing a new coffee lounge, light snack restaurant/bar with a capacity of 118 persons and 18 tables; and

WHEREAS premises is the former Kenny Rogers Restaurant which contains a Certificate of Occupancy for Use Group 6-an Eating & Drinking place; and

WHEREAS notwithstanding the applicant's premises being within 500' of more than 3 other liquor license establishments whose critical mass are not deemed to have any adverse impact upon the immediate residential neighborhood; and

WHEREAS applicant has operated an identical establishment at Broadway and 76th Street for the past year with no adverse impact upon the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS there was no neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises for DNA Inc. d/b/a XANDO 504 Avenue of the Americas. NYC 10003

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

2. Applications to the SLA for Transfer of license to sell liquor on premises.

Village R&B Ltd. 125 MacDougal St. a/k/a 119 W. 3rd St. NYC 10012

WHEREAS this is a transfer from the existing Visiones Club establishment; and

WHEREAS this premises has been established as an entertainment locale for many years which has fit into the neighborhood without any negative impact upon its neighbors; and

WHEREAS this is expected to continue as evidenced by the absence of any neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on premises by Village R&B Ltd., 125 MacDougal St. a/k/a 119 W. 3rd St. NYC 10012.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

✓ RDK Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Bistro Les Amis, 180 Spring Street, NYC 10012

WHEREAS applicant is buying the restaurant known as Sanzin which has been in operation for several years; and

WHEREAS this establishment has been a bonafide restaurant serving liquor with no adverse impact on the community; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to continue the same basic operation as in the past; and

WHEREAS there was no neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises by RDK Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Bistro Les Amis, 180 Spring St. NYC 10012.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

The following applicants have made application for new liquor licenses for on-premises consumption but did not appear for the public hearing:

- ✓ D'Amici, Inc. 785 Broadway, NYC 10003
- ✓ Jones Enterprises, Inc. 135 Christopher St., NYC 10014
- ✓ Lucky Almond, d/b/a WXOU Radio, 558 Hudson St., NYC 10014
- ✓ Japond Restaurant LLC 6 Bond St., NYC 10012

WHEREAS the applicants did not request a postponement and can be considered as being indifferent to the legal and administrative process that they are called upon to uphold as potential licensees of the State acting for the public;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly recommends the denial by the SLA of liquor licenses for on-premises consumption by the aforementioned applicants; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the SLA direct applicants to adhere to the licensing process by further directing them to appear before CB#2-Man. for a public hearing before consideration by the SLA.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

The following applicants have made application for TRANSFER of license to sell liquor on-premises but did not appear for the public hearing:

- ✓ Arta LLC, 88 University Place, NYC 10003
- ✓ SMDLR, Inc. 228 West 4th St. NYC 10014

WHEREAS the applicants did not request a postponement and can be considered as being indifferent to the legal and administrative process that they are called upon to uphold as potential licensees of the State acting for the public;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly recommends the denial by the SLA of liquor licenses for on-premises consumption by the aforementioned applicants; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the SLA direct applicants to adhere to the licensing process by further directing them to appear before CB#2-Man. for a public hearing before consideration by the SLA.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

JOINT SIDEWALKS, PUBLIC FACILITIES & ACCESS AND TRAFFIC & TRANSPORTATION

1. Bicycle Traffic

WHEREAS the committees held a public hearing to discuss pedestrian bicycle traffic with respect to pedestrian safety issues; and

WHEREAS a representative from Transportation Alternatives who explained the various rules and regulations regarding bicycles and who further emphasized the importance of police enforcement of existing laws; and

WHEREAS the committee was enlightened and informed by the speaker concerned that the laws were not being enforced in the CB#2 area with the result that the safety of pedestrian are at risk; and

WHEREAS the speaker also pointed out that laws affecting use of bicycle lanes are routinely being disregarded by motor vehicle drivers.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. strongly calls for stricter and more vigorous law enforcement of existing bicycle laws in order to enhance pedestrian safety;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly calls for more vigor law enforcement bicycle-lane parking.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

SIDEWALKS, PUBLIC FACILITIES AND ACCESS

1. New application for a one year revocable consent by Drew, Jacobs LLC., 330 Bleecker Street to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 5 tables and 10 seats.

This item was tables until the next Committee meeting because the applicant did not appear.

2. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Sitco Food Corp., d/b/a MacDougal's Restaurant, 89 MacDougal St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 16 tables and 32 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access; and

WHEREAS there appeared to be outstanding violations;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. recommends conditional approval of a one (1) year revocable consent to Sitco Food Corp., d/b/a, MacDougal's Restaurant, 89 MacDougal St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 16 tables and 32 seats conditioned on the fact that any and all outstanding violations must be corrected.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

3. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Hayet & Skander Cafe Corp., d/b/a Cafe Mona Lisa, 282 Bleecker St a/k/a 57 7th Ave. So. to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 10 tables and 30 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there was no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three (3) year revocable consent to Hayet Skander Cafe Corp., d/b/a Cafe Mona Lisa, 282 Bleecker St a/k/a 57 7th Ave. So. to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 10 tables and 30 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

4. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Cafe Figaro, 184 Bleecker St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 17 tables and 34 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there was no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS the applicant agrees to keep the tables and chairs within the limits of the sidewalk cafe area.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three (3) year revocable consent to Cafe Figaro, 184 Bleecker St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 17 tables and 34 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

5. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Restaurant Florent 69 Gansevoort St. to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 5 tables and 14 seats

WHEREAS the area was posted, there was no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three (3) year revocable consent to Restaurant Florent 69 Gansevoort St. to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 5 tables and 14 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

6. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Blood, Sweat & Beers, Inc., d/b/a Boxer's 190 W. 4th St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 10 tables and 20 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there was no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three (3) year revocable consent to buy Blood, Sweat & Beers, Inc., d/b/a Boxer's, 190 W. 4th St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 10 tables and 20 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE

- Consideration of a Park Permit application for 1998 commencement in Washington Square Park by New York University.

WHEREAS New York University (NYU) has applied for permission to hold their May 14, 1998, Commencement Ceremony in Washington Square Park; and

WHEREAS NYU, over the years, has made many changes that have improved and resulted in a more efficient and systematic ceremony; and

WHEREAS NYU proposes to leave the park in better condition than before the commencement by cleaning the Park and surrounding areas, removing posters and graffiti, mowing the lawns, repairing the benches etc.; and

WHEREAS New York University has been in the forefront of all our efforts to make Washington Square Park a cleaner, more beautiful, and safer park.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, CB2-Man. recommends to the Department of Parks approval of this permit to allow New York University to hold their annual Commencement in Washington Square Park on May 14, 1998.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION

- Metropolitan Transit Authority (MTA) Proposal to Move the M-1 Bus from Park Avenue to Fifth Avenue Below 40th Street

WHEREAS many complaints have been received by the Public Advocate's office articulating the imposition and unfairness of moving the M-1 bus from Park Avenue So./Fourth Avenue/Lafayette Street to Fifth Avenue, especially to elderly and disabled local residents; and

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. does not have any disabled subway access, making the bus the only means of public transportation within our community for the elderly, disabled and local residents; and

WHEREAS the community already suffers immense congestion on the east side subway - IRT #6, which runs along Park Avenue So. and Lafayette Street; and

WHEREAS the community already suffers a lack of public transportation east of Fifth Avenue, and the MTA proposal would leave no bus service along Park Avenue So. and Fourth Avenue/Lafayette Street; and

WHEREAS the MTA proposal would duplicate M-3 local service and M-2 and M-5 limited-stop service that already runs down Fifth Avenue;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly recommends denial of the MTA proposal of relocating the M-1 bus down Fifth Avenue, south of 40th Street as it would cause additional congestion on the already-limited mass transit service being experienced on the east side of Manhattan and would cause additional stress to the elderly and disabled, who already have no subway disabled access in all of CB#2-Man.

Vote: Unanimous, with 39 Board members in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Lora Tenenbaum
Secretary
Community Board #2, Manhattan

Note: Unknowns, with 39 Board Members in favor.

Follow-up Resolution to a preliminary letter sent in
 December to the Department of Youth and Community
 Development, endorsing the Greenwich Village Youth
 Council's move from Carmine Street to Little Italy:
 WHEREAS the Greenwich Village Youth Council moved
 its Carmine Street operation to Community Board #2's
 southeastern section -- the afterschool program to
 Old St. Patrick's Youth Center on Mulberry Street
 and its teen program to P.S. 130 at 143 Baxter
 Street just north of Canal, and
 WHEREAS the Youth Committee endorsed this move to an
 area filled with needy, underserved young people,
 and
 WHEREAS the Greenwich Village Youth Council is,
 currently, Community Board #2, Manhattan's sole
 service provider, entitled to the full Community
 Board #2, Community Share funding allocation.
 THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board #2,
 Manhattan strongly supports the Greenwich Village
 Youth Council's move to the Little Italy area, and
 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Greenwich Village
 Youth Council receives the full Community Board,
 Community Share funding allocation to which it is
 entitled.

YOUTH COMMITTEE
 JANUARY 23, 1998

COMMUNITY BOARD 2

WATERFRONT COMMITTEE

EIS RESOLUTION

WHEREAS Community Board 2 believes that the proposed stretch of Hudson River Park within its boundary holds great potential in terms of the total amount of green park space which can be made available to the public; and

WHEREAS the Village-Soho waterfront will be maximally used as a park space if the revenue needs of the Hudson River Park, if any, are absorbed either by government or through maximizing the commercial potential of several of the passenger ship terminals which have been taken out of the Park; and

WHEREAS use of the Hudson River Park should be as unimpeded as possible by noise and pollution;

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, with respect to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement ("DEIS") that Community Board 2 recommends that the final EIS be amended to specifically analyze the following issues so that the final park plan can fully consider means by which to concretely mitigate adverse environmental impacts created by the park plan:

a) Alternatives to the use of park land for parking, car access or bus layovers, such as a plan for increased public transit access and parking only on the east side of Route 9A;

- b) The additional transient parking which will follow construction of the park, and the different impacts of transient vs. existing long-term parking uses in the waterfront area;
- c) The reintroduction of Piers 88, 90, 92 and 94 into the Park, so that their marine facilities, parking areas and potential revenue-producing uses can improve the Park's maritime maintenance revenues without commercial use of Pier 40. This would include a study of the adaptive reuse of those piers for tour boats and ferries presently programmed for other piers in the park further south, and for visitor parking, combined with a jitney service for park visitors;
- d) The use of the St. Johns Building and other non-waterfront facilities as alternatives to Pier 40 as a parking facility;
- e) The impact of having no heliport facility in the Park;
- f) The identification and impact of moving the sanitation garage and sort site on the Gansevoort Peninsula to alternative sites both on a temporary and long-term basis;
- g) The restoration and/or rebuilding of the full length of all Piers from Pier 42 through Pier 54, as an alternative to the use of partial piers and the creation of ecological piers;
- h) The impact that the actual construction of the park will have on waterfront-adjacent communities, with an analysis of the construction staging plan, the use of alternative construction techniques, the creation of a Construction Advisory Task Force, and state-of-the-art

mitigation techniques to protect our air and water quality and to protect against noise pollution, hazardous materials, and rodents during construction;

i) The noise-related and air quality impacts of motorized boats;

j) Pedestrian safety, including an analysis of the time needed for pedestrians to safely cross Route 9A;

k) Provisions for public safety including police and EMS access, policy "sub-stations," and emergency call boxes;

l) The construction and governance of the proposed park by a State and/or City agency, rather than by the Urban Development Corporation (a/k/a ESDC);

m) The financing and operation of the proposed park by means other than generating revenue within the park;

n) The impact of revenue-generating activities within the park on the inland business community;

o) Whether the park and its uses will cause additional traffic to flow through the surrounding inland community and, if so, whether this will result in an adverse impact and whether such adverse impact can be mitigated;

52

4 public members in favor	0 against
7 board members in favor	0 against

Approved - January 20, 1998:

commercial uses.

r) The impact of the proposal to mitigate traffic problems at Pier 40 created by

funding; and

National Register of Historic places, and the impact that loss of eligibility would have on park

q) How the proposed plan compromises eligibility for entry of the bulkhead in The

active or passive use;

p) A more flexible design program for all piers, so as not to limit various piers to

HUDSON RIVER PARK LEGISLATION

WHEREAS, State Senator Franz Leichter and Assembly Member Richard Gottfried have circulated, for comment, a draft of a bill addressed to the construction of a waterfront park on the Hudson River Waterfront between Battery Place and 59th Streets; and

WHEREAS, the communities adjacent to the waterfront have a dire need for additional park and recreation space which can be met by a genuine, open, green Hudson River Park; and

WHEREAS, the creation of such a park on the Hudson River waterfront can open a whole new relationship between the waterfront communities and the Hudson River and promote an environmentally sound waterfront, compatible with the upland communities; and

WHEREAS, there currently exist insufficient legal protection against commercial development on the aforescribed waterfront and no legal requirement that a park be built on any or all of that area; and

WHEREAS, restrictions and requirements set forth in the draft Leichter-Gottfried bill take an important step in the direction of preventing commercial development on the Hudson River Waterfront and of allowing only park uses on many of the piers in the jurisdiction of the proposed park, and of providing protection for the river's marine environment; and

7A

WHEREAS, Community Board 2 maintains its long-held position in support of an

open green park on its Hudson River waterfront; and

WHEREAS, Community Board 2 conducted a public hearing on the draft Leichter-

Gottfried bill attended by over 300 people as well as Senators Franz Leichter and Catherine Abate and Assembly Members Richard Gottfried and Deborah Glick, at which the vast

majority of speakers made critical comments about the bill, including the belief that the

Trust created by the bill was insufficiently accountable to elected officials, that the park

protections were insufficient, that the proposed transfer of title of the park land to the Trust

failed to follow the SEQRA process and that the bill provided for too little community

representation in park governance; and

WHEREAS, it is the view of Community Board 2 that it should take a constructive

role in the development of the legislation;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 2 cannot support the

draft Leichter-Gottfried bill in the form considered at Community Board 2's public hearing

and asks that it be revised and not be introduced in its current form; and be it further

RESOLVED that Community Board 2 supports the following concepts of the

Leichter-Gottfried bill and requests that they be included in any revised draft:

a) the legislative requirement that many piers have only park uses;

JA

communities, by the Manhattan Borough President);

governing body (i.e., a greater number of appointees, residing in waterfront-adjacent
vetoed by elected officials, and which includes expanded community representation on the
governing body which serves at the pleasure of elected officials, whose decisions may be
Park, with no authority to issue bonds or exercise eminent domain power, with a
public benefit corporation, to guide the construction and operation of the Hudson River

c) Establish a joint City-State park governing entity that is not an authority or

area they currently own;

b) Maintain title of the waterfront in the City and State, respectively, over the

community board area;

a) Include park designations for the entirety of all of the piers in our

Member Council to include the following additional provisions in any revised draft:

RESOLVED, that Community Board 2 calls upon Senator Leichter and Assembly

to the park and any agency running the park; and be it further

d) the requirement that SEQRA, ULRRP, and all zoning laws remain applicable

for park maintenance and development; and

c) the requirement that all revenue from commercial uses in the park be used

b) the creation of a marine sanctuary;

- d) Require application of the SEQRA process not only for any change of use but for a change in governance of the waterfront area;
- e) Provide for a fair share of financial support of the park, including maintenance and operations, from general City and State tax revenues so that park finances do not depend on commercial uses within the park, and require a periodic survey of the tax benefits of the park from off-site park-generated activity and property value increases;
- f) Set a time certain for ending Department of Sanitation uses on Gansevoort Peninsula;
- g) Prohibit the use of the waterfront along the Community Board 2 area for the docking or mooring of any boat which contains any gambling paraphernalia, or for the docking or mooring of any boat, including a water taxi, which transports people to any boat which contains gambling paraphernalia; and
- h) Eliminate all references to "boosting tourism" as one of the goals of the legislation and the park.
- i) Restore Piers 88, 90, 92 and 94 to the park and require that all revenues from these passenger ship piers be utilized for park operations and maintenance, provided that the park uses for those piers be developed in accordance with the wishes of the Clinton community as expressed by Community Board 4.

FULL BOARD MEETING: 1/22/98

LEGEND: blank or 0 = Yes; N = No; AB = Abstention; X = Not Present

RESOLUTIONS

	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	2	3	4	5
ARLEN, Ann																	
BERGMAN, Tobi			AB														
BLAU, Donna Michele																	
BRAUN, Enid																	
BRISTOW, Glenn				N													
CAFIERO, Charle																	
COHN, Ronald																	
CRANDELL, Keith																	
CRUMPACKER, Caroline																	
DAPOLITO, Anthony																	
DIETHER, Doris			AB	AB													
ESDAILE, Lise																	
FEINMAN, Carol				N													
FIELDS, Harriet																	
GEBALLE, Jonathan				AB													
GERSON, Alan Jay																	
GISKE, Emily																	
GOLD, Edward																	
GONZALEZ, Oscar J.																	
GOREN, Arnold L.																	
GREEN, Benjamin B.																	
HEARN, Anne																	
HOFFMAN, Anthony																	
HERZOG, Nina																	
IANNELLO, Helen																	
JOHNSON, Veronica																	
KLEIN, Honi																	
LAVINE, Rachel																	
LEES, Aubrey																	
MANN, Deborah																	
MARK-VIVERITO, M.																	
MCGRATH, Rosemary				AB													
MINTZ, Penny																	
MIRISOLA, Michael				AB													
NASH, Doris																	
REICHMAN, Carol				AB													
SANDLER, Debra S.																	
SCHWARTZ, Arthur																	
SECUNDA, Shirley																	
SHERLIP, Ruth																	
SLOTNICK, Sharon																	
SMALL, Verna				AB	N												
SMITH, James																	
SWEENEY, Sean																	
TENENBAUM, Lora									A								
TESSLER, Martin									AB								
WILCKE, Jeanne																	
WILLIAMS, Betty																	
YANKAY, Carol																	

RESOLUTIONS:

18.	✓ LANDMARKS #16	PASSED - UNAN
19.	✓ LANDMARKS #17 (w/ corrections)	PASSED - UNAN
20.	✓ YOUTH COMMITTEE (loose sheet)	"
21.	✓ WATERFRONT #3 PIER HD DESIGN COMPETITION (w/ change)	"
22.	✓ #4 - City zoning - Parks	"
23.	✓ #5 - DELS (w/ corrections & changes)	" UNAN
24.	✓ #6(a) legislation (A. Schwartz alternative)	FAILED - B
25.	✓ #6(b) all presence of legislators	passed
26.	Environ. Kenans Reservoir	PASSED UNAN
27.	CROTON WATER FILTRATION PLANTS	" "
28.	ZONING	" "
29.	P.Z.F. MARTINS BEG	" "
30.	SINGAPOUR LOUIES	" "
	DNA dba XANDU	" "
	Village R.E.B (has rewritten)	" "
	R.D.C. Nest	" "
	Non-Appeal - New Applicants	" "
	" - transfer of license	" "

COMMUNITY BOARD #2, MANHATTAN ROLL CALL

FULL BOARD MEETING: 1/22/88

LEGEND: blank or O = Yes; N = No; AB = Abstention; X = Not Present

RESOLUTIONS	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	2	3	4	5	

ARLEN, Ann																		
BERGMAN, Tobi																		
BLAU, Donna Michele																		
BRAUN, Enid																		
BRISTOW, Glenn																		
CAFIERO, Charle																		
COHN, Ronald																		
CRANDELL, Keith																		
CRUMPACKER, Caroline																		
DAPOLITO, Anthony																		
DIETHER, Doris										N								
ESDAILE, Lise																		
FEINMAN, Carol																		
FIELDS, Harriet																		
GEBALLE, Jonathan																		
GERSON, Alan Jay																		
GISKE, Emily																		
GOLD, Edward																		
GONZALEZ, Oscar J.																		
GOREN, Arnold L.																		
GREEN, Benjamin B.																		
HEARN, Anne																		
HOFFMAN, Anthony																		
HERZOG, Nina																		
IANNELLO, Helen																		
JOHNSON, Veronica																		
KLEIN, Honi																		
LAVINE, Rachel																		
LEES, Aubrey																		
MANN, Deborah																		
MARK-VIVERITO, M.																		
MCGRATH, Rosemary																		
MINTZ, Penny																		
MIRISOLA, Michael																		
NASH, Doris																		
REICHMAN, Carol										Rec.								
SANDLER, Debra S.																		
SCHWARTZ, Arthur																		
SECUNDA, Shirley																		
SHERLIP, Ruth																		
SLOTNICK, Sharon										Rec.								
SMALL, Verna																		
SMITH, James																		
SWEENEY, Sean																		
TENENBAUM, Lora																		
TESSLER, Martin																		
WILCKE, Jeanne																		
WILLIAMS, Betty																		
YANKAY, Carol																		

RESOLUTIONS:

18. 36.	Sidewalks - Bicycle	Unan FOR ✓
19. 37	STVE #2	" ✓
20. 38	#4	" ✓
21. 39	#5	" ✓
22. 40	#6	" ✓
23. 41	#8	" ✓
24. 42	#9	" ✓
25. 43	PARKS NYU	PASSED ✓
26. 44	TRAFFIC - TML BUS - (w/changes)	PASSED UNAN ✓
27. 45		
28. 46		
29. 47		
30. 48		
31. 49		
32. 50		
33.		
34.		
35.		



**CITY OF NEW YORK
COMMUNITY BOARD NO. 2, MANHATTAN**

3 Washington Square Village • New York, New York 10012-1899 • (212) 979-2272 • FAX (212) 254-5102
Greenwich Village • Little Italy • SoHo • NoHo

FULL BOARD MINUTES

Alan Jay Gerson
Chair

DATE: February 19, 1998

Oscar J. Gonzalez
Vice-Chair

TIME: 7:00 P.M.

James M. Smith
Vice-Chair

PLACE: St. Vincent's Hospital, 170 W. 12th Street
Smith Pavilion, cross over to Cronin Auditorium,
10th Floor

Lora J. Tenenbaum
Secretary

Doris B. Nash
Assistant Secretary

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Ann Arlen, Tobi Bergman, Donna Blau, Enid Braun, Charle-John Cafiero, Keith Crandell, Caroline Crumpacker, Anthony Dapolito, Doris Diether, Carol Feinman, Harriet Fields, Jonathan Geballe, Alan Jay Gerson, Chair, Community Board #2, Man. (CB#2-Man.); Emily Giske, Edward Gold, Ben Green, Anne Hearn, Helen Iannello, Rachel Lavine, Aubrey Lees, Rosemary McGrath, Doris Nash, Carol Reichman, Debra Sandler, Arthur Z. Schwartz, Shirley Secunda, Ruth Sherlip, Verna Small, James Smith, Sean Sweeney, Lora Tenenbaum, Martin Tessler, Jeanne Wilcke.

Carol S. Reichman
Treasurer

Arthur W. Strickler
District Manager

BOARD MEMBERS EXCUSED: Glenn Bristow, Oscar J. Gonzalez, Arnold L. Goren, Anthony Hoffman, Veronica Johnson, Honi Klein, Deborah Coleman-Mann, Penny Mintz, Carol Yankay, Betty Williams.

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT: Ronald Cohn, Lise Esdaile, Nina Herzog, Melissa Mark-Viverito, Michael Mirisola, Sharon Slotnick.

BOARD STAFF PRESENT: Arthur Strickler, District Manager.

GUESTS: Maggie Nachlin, Congressman Jerrold Nadler's office; Mary Joo, of Senator Catherine Abate's office; Yvonne Morrow, Assembly Speaker Sheldon Silver's office; Maureen Casey, Assemblymember Deborah Glick's Office; Councilmember Tom Duane; Scott Melvin, of Councilmember Tom Duane's office; Councilmember Kathryn Freed; Councilmember Margarita Lopez, Man. Borough President C. Virginia Fields; Noah Pfefferblit, Luther Smith, of Man. Borough President C. Virginia Field's office; Konrad Abbott, David Reck, Eli Hausknecht, Dan Navarro, Jane Gaddis, Phillip W. Kaufman, Peter Lagonikos, Harold Magida, Eliza Bradley, Alice Peterson, Diane Whelton, Mary K. Doris, Judith Joice, John H. Queeney, Robert McBrien, Jo Hamilton, Betty Rinckwitz, Gary O'Brien, Barbara Rancourt, George Peck, Katy Bordonaro, Susan Goren, Judy McCusker, Stephen Culhane, Georgia Grann, Janet Falk.

MEETING SUMMARY

Meeting Date - February 19, 1998
Board Members Present - 33
Board Members Excused - 10
Board Members Absent - 6

I. SUMMARY AND INDEX

ATTENDANCE.....1
MEETING SUMMARY.....1
SUMMARY AND INDEX.....1
PUBLIC SESSION.....2
ADOPTION OF AGENDA.....2
ELECTED OFFICIALS' REPORTS.....2
 ADOPTION OF MINUTES.....3
 EXECUTIVE SESSION.....3
 STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS.....3
 14TH STREET SPECIAL COMMITTEE.....3
 TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION.....4
 ZONING.....7
 BUSINESS & INSTITUTIONS.....8
 ARTS.....14
 LANDMARKS.....14
 SIDEWALKS, PUBLIC FACILITIES AND ACCESS.....21
 PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE.....24
 WATERFRONT.....26
 ENVIRONMENT.....27
 SOCIAL SERVICES, HOMELESS & SENIOR SERVICES.....27
 ROLL CALL.....29

ENTERED

II. Public Session

Traffic Items

Dog Run/Mercer St. Sidewalk Repairs

Harold Magida, President of the Washington Square Tenants' Association, Dan Navarro, president of the Dog Run, and Phillip Kaufman, spoke in favor of the emergency repairs.

Street Signage for Wes Joice

Judith Joice, George Peck and Barbara Rancourt, spoke in favor of the street renaming. John Hart Queeney, Jr. yielded his time and provided documentation.

Traffic on Greenwich Street Study

Betty Rinckwitz, President of the Perry St. Block Assn., Katy Bordonaro, W. Village Houses Tenants' Assn., and Jo Hamilton, Coalition for Safe Washington & Greenwich Sts., spoke in favor of the traffic study.

Waterfront Items Bill Hine spoke.

Landmarks & Public Aesthetics items

Resolution on Signage

Floyd Galloway, of the W. 8th St. Block Assn., spoke in favor of CB#2-Man.'s resolution regarding signage in landmarked districts.

Business & Institutions Items

Budget Cuts

Robert Mc Brien, Regional Librarian at Jefferson Market Library, spoke, asking that cuts to the book budget be restored.

Sidewalks, Public Facilities & Access Items

Garage Restaurant, 99 Seventh Ave. So.

Barbara Rancourt (also provided documentation) and Mary K. Doris, spoke in opposition to the modification (enlargement) of the sidewalk cafe.

Zoning and Housing Items

West Village Houses Resolution

Katy Bordonaro, of the W. Village Houses Tenants' Assn., spoke in favor of the resolution.

14th St. Special Committee Items

Chelsea Plan

Ed Kirkland, chair of CB#4's Traffic Committee, spoke regarding the resolution.

III. Adoption of Agenda

IV. Elected Officials Present and Reporting

Maggie Nachlin, of Congressman Jerrold Nadler's office

Senator Catherine Abate, reported on her efforts on the following: 1) health facilities anti-violence act; 2) sweat shops; and 3) toxic waste sites in the state and the need for funding.

Yvonne Morrow, of Assembly Speaker Sheldon Silver's office.

Maureen Casey, of Assemblymember Deborah Glick's office.

Councilmember Tom Duane

Councilmember Kathryn Freed.

Councilmember Margarita Lopez, new councilmember, addressed the Full Board. Her telephone number is (212) 614-8451. She also introduced Patrick Gaspar, her chief of staff.

Man. Borough President C. Virginia Fields, new Man. borough president addressed the Full Board. She also introduced Luther Smith, her community affairs director, and reintroduced Noah Pfefferblit, her community board liaison.

V. Adoption of Minutes

Approval of January Minutes. Distribution of February minutes.

VI. Executive Session Chair's Report. Alan Jay Gerson gave his report.

District Manager's Report. Arthur Strickler commended the staff for coming through on an extra-heavy workload this month.

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS

14TH STREET SPECIAL COMMITTEE

1. 14TH STREET TASK FORCE RESOLUTION CONCERNING: ARMORY PLAN-125 W. 14TH ST.

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. agrees with the Armory Action Association's goal to insure that the development of the Armory on 14th St. determines a stable, long term use for the Armory, ending years of uncertainty about the fate of this major property,

WHEREAS of the four plans that were submitted, CB#2-Man. agrees with the Armory Action Association that the Loft Space Inc. and the CostCo plans are unacceptable and in direct opposition to keeping the commercial and residential stability of the community,

WHEREAS the Plaza Plan seemed incomplete and not well thought out and called for the addition of a mega-theatre,

WHEREAS the Lombardi Plan is consistent in scale and quality with the low rise, low density residential character of the Chelsea and West Village neighborhoods,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. supports the Joseph P. Lombardi Plan that calls for primarily residential use with minimal commercial usage only on the 14th St. side and all residential on the 15th St. side.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

2. CHELSEA PLAN

WHEREAS, the CB#2-Man. 14th Street Special Committee, a geographically specific Committee has been asked by the Board Chair to render its preliminary judgment on the Chelsea Plan as it impact CB#2-Man. and refer its recommendations to the CB#2-Man. Zoning Committee for comment; and

WHEREAS, for several years, CB#4-Man. has been developing a 197-A zoning plan for residential Chelsea which will permit orderly growth while maintaining the traditional economic diversity, scale, urban form and character of the community; and

WHEREAS, because the plan extends to West 14th St, the boundary bet. CB#2-Man. and CB#4-Man., it necessarily involves both sides of West 14th St., including a small but significant piece of CB#2-Man.; and

WHEREAS, the Dept. of City Planning (DCP) has responded to the Chelsea Plan with its own Chelsea Rezoning Project; and

WHEREAS, CB#2-Man. has traditionally been vigorous in support of supporting development only within the context of low-rise, low bulk construction; and

WHEREAS the DCP proposal fails to recognize the low-rise residential character of the area bet. 7th and 9th Avenues; on W. 14th St.; and

WHEREAS, the DCP proposal to enlarge the FAR at the intersections of West 14th St. and 6th and 7th Avenues opens the way to additional and inappropriate steps in erosion of the low-rise character of this portion of Lower Manhattan; and

WHEREAS, the proposal to add bulk over these two major subway station stops overlooks the already heavy congestion below ground at both these sites;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. commends CB#4-Man. for its thoughtful proposal to retain the traditional economic diversity, scale, urban form and character of our neighbor to the north; and

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. renders these further preliminary pre-certification comments on Chelsea Plan as it impacts CB#2-Man:

That with respect to the portion of W. 14th St. bet. 7th and 9th Ave., much of which is low-rise and residential in character, CB#2-Man. is inclined to support the original concept.

That with respect to properties surrounding the intersections CB#2-Man. is inclined to urge.

Is inclined not to object; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that with respect to the portion of W. 14th St. bet. 7th and 9th Avenues, much of which is low-rise and residential in character, CB#2-Man. generally is inclined to support the original concept of CB#4-Man. to rezone this strip from C6-2M (6.02 FAR) to R8B (4.0 FAR), with an appropriate commercial C2 overlay; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that with respect to properties surrounding the intersections of West 14th St. with 6th and 7th Avenues, for which the DCP recommends an increase from C6-2A (6.02 FAR) to C6-3A (7.52 FAR), CB#2-Man. is inclined to urge retention of the C6-2A zoning.; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. is inclined not to object to the change from non-contextual to contextual zoning on West 14th St. bet. 6th and 7th Avenues, except for those portions cited above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all of these pre-certification comments are subject to further CB#2-Man. review following an open public hearing by CB#2-Man. and its Zoning Committee as part of the ULURP process.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

3. Department of City Planning 14th St. Transportation Study

WHEREAS, the Department of City Planning (DCP) has initiated a transportation study of the rapidly developing area of 14th Street between 3rd and 6th avenues; and

WHEREAS, the study includes secondary areas extending north to 17th Street and south to 13th Street; and

WHEREAS, some of the most challenging traffic effects of the increasing high-rise construction along East 14th Street will impact streets at least as far south as 9th Street in Greenwich Village;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man., while commending the Department of City Planning for its initiative in conducting the current study, strongly urges the Department to extend the secondary-impact study area to the area between 14th Street and West 9th Street.

TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION

1. Request to add the name "Wes Joice Place" under the sign on N/E corner of 7th Ave/Christopher St:

WHEREAS Judy Joice made a presentation giving the history of the "Lions Head", the literary bar her husband, John Wesley Joice built in 1966, which became a legendary literary establishment in New York City; and

WHEREAS the Lions Head, which was located at 59 Christopher Street in Greenwich Village became internationally known by established writers, Pulitzer prize winners, national and state politicians, poets and entertainers bringing attention to Greenwich Village; and

WHEREAS the committee was supplied with numerous national and international articles, as well as several people testifying recognizing "Wes Joice" as a community member who has participated and contributed to the Greenwich Village community; and

WHEREAS Judy Joice has petitioned the Community Board to commemorate "Wes Joice" by adding his name below the N/E corner of 7th Ave. sign at Christopher St. as it is policy of the Community Board to recognize individuals and not institutions on signs, the committee additionally

recommends that a plaque be added on or near the building where the original Lions Head was established describing the history of Wes Joice and the Lions Head.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. recommends after hearing and being presented testimony from the community at large to add the name of "Wes Joice Place" below the 7th Ave. sign on the northeast corner of 7th Ave. South at Christopher St.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

2. Repair & Replace Mercer St. and Mercer-Houston Dog Run Sinking Sidewalk and Pavement

WHEREAS the Mercer Street (west) sidewalk between Houston & Bleecker is a heavily pedestrian trafficked street with NYU students to The Coles Center and the popular Angelika movie theaters; and

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has received several complaints about the hazardous broken, cracked and sinking sidewalk that runs alongside the Mercer/Houston St. Dog Run; and

WHEREAS this hazardous condition has also caused a serious condition into the Mercer/Houston Dog Run's pavement, cracking/sinking it badly creating an ongoing flooding situation, causing the fence surrounding the run to sink and deteriorate; and

WHEREAS this hazardous condition has also caused a serious condition into the pocket park adjacent (north) of the Mercer/Houston Dog Run, the pavement and curb is sinking badly and makes this sitting area hazardous and unusable; and

WHEREAS the committee was presented with photographs by Mr. Magida Pres. of W. Sq. Village Assoc. & member of the Mercer/Houston Dog Run, showing the cracking, broken uneven, sinking Mercer sidewalk and dog run pavement and fence; and

WHEREAS the committee heard testimony and received letters from members of the Dog Run who witness people falling and tripping daily on the Mercer sidewalk, some injured so badly they are taken away in ambulances; and

WHEREAS the committee heard testimony and has received letters from members of the Dog Run who has fallen and injured by the hazardous conditions of Mercer St. causing him to be laid up for over a week, he reported this condition to the offices of DOT and spoke with Mr. Frender on May 20, 1997, Sept. 25, 1997 and Nov. 14, 1997 with 1997 without receiving any response; and

WHEREAS testimony was also presented that the dangerous conditions of the Mercer sidewalk and Dog Run arose after the sub-surface was comprised when Con Ed sub contractors did underground construction adjacent to the Dog Run about 18 months ago; and

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has received correspondence by NYC-DOT Capital program management director, Mr. Henley declaring that they are aware of only an uneven sidewalk and are preparing a scope to advance design to determine the sub-surface conditions causing the uneven sidewalk but will only schedule this for fiscal year '99 construction.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. strongly urges the New York City Department of Transportation (DOT) to immediately repair and replace:

1. the hazardous and dangerous Mercer St. (west) sidewalk between Houston and Bleecker Sts; and
2. repair, replace the broken, cracked Mercer/Houston Dog Run pavement, fence and gate; and
3. repair/replace the sinking sidewalk and gate to the mini-pocket park and sitting area adjacent (north) of the Dog Run, to avoid further danger and potential legal liability to the city arising from the current disrepair and hazardous conditions of these areas; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. strongly recommends that the NYCDOT Dept. of Capital Program Management senior director supply CB#2-Man. with the previous studies since this situation has been on going for years and to

coordinate with New York University and to schedule this project immediately, continuing the investigation determining the comprising of the sub-surface conditions that are causing these re-occurring dangerous, and hazardous conditions.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

3. Route 9A - Greenwich Village - SOHO Traffic Computer Study and Traffic Improvement-Implementation Project

WHEREAS a computer study was started by the Route 9A Office to analyze options to improve traffic conditions within CB#2-Man. as it relates to the re-construction of Route 9A; and

WHEREAS solutions for improvement were to be implemented from the analysis of this report; and

WHEREAS work on this critical project did not continue, nor were its results shared with the community, and the community is being overrun by traffic using its residential side streets causing danger to pedestrian safety; and

WHEREAS the community has been advised that the funding for the computer study and traffic improvement-implementation project could be discontinued by mid 1998.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, CB#2-Man. urgently requests that the Route 9A Office to immediately supply the Community Board with the results to date of the study and continue its work for a Greenwich Village/SOHO computer study and traffic improvement-implementation project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, CB#2-Man. strongly recommends that the funding be set aside now to insure completion of this project.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

4. Greenwich Street Request for Immediate-Long Term Traffic Improvements

WHEREAS CB#2-Man's Traffic Strategies Subcommittee and Traffic & Transportation Committee have been working with the residents of Greenwich Street to develop concepts to improve pedestrian safety and traffic conditions from 14th St. to Houston on Greenwich St; and

WHEREAS there continues to be a concern expressed by residents of Greenwich St. because of the recent accidents, including three children being hit by cars, due to increased traffic and changing traffic patterns associated with the reconstruction of Route 9A; and

WHEREAS there have been changes to traffic patterns near the Holland Tunnel which has caused Greenwich to be used as a through street for uptown traffic as well as the UPS facility below Houston using Greenwich as egress for all of its 18 wheel trucks and local delivery vans; and

WHEREAS in the past several years this mixed use community has grown due to additional residential units, increased office space, expansion of delivery services, the reduction of northbound traffic lanes on Hudson St. the reconstruction of Route 9A-STREET DETACHMENTS has pushed even more traffic onto Greenwich St; and

WHEREAS more pedestrians will be crossing Washington St. at all intersections in the future on the way to the new waterfront park; and

WHEREAS comprehensive, immediate long-term solutions are needed for pedestrian safety and traffic improvements addressing the community's concern for Greenwich St; and

WHEREAS a parallel development effort must be pursued which builds upon initial strategies that can be implemented immediately addressing the dangerous and hazardous conditions facing Greenwich St.; and

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. extends thanks to the NYC Dept. of Transportation (NYCDOT) for investigating and implementing traffic safety conditions on Washington St. and would like to see similar improvements made on Greenwich St. for installing some of the same mitigation devices and initiating traffic signal warrant studies at the intersections of: Clarkson, Leroy, Morton, Charles, Perry, 11th, Bank, Jane, Horatio, and Gansevoort; and

WHEREAS complement long term improvement for immediate strategies should include but not limited to the following: studying, analyzing and recommending traffic calming strategies appropriate for the Greenwich St. corridor; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, CB#2-Man. requests that the NYCDOT and NYSDOT further implement immediate pedestrian safety and traffic improvements for the Greenwich St. corridor; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, immediate improvements should include not limited to the following:

- installing traffic signals to be provided by the Route 9A Project office paid funds;
- painting high visibility cross walks along Greenwich St. corridor;
- installing stop ahead signs along Greenwich St. corridor;
- installing curb cuts along Greenwich St. corridor.
- painting pavement markings with the word STOP along Greenwich St., as appropriate;
- conducting traffic signal warrant studies at: Clarkson, Leroy, Morton, Charles, Perry, 11th, Bank, Jane, Horatio, Gansevoort;
- painting traffic lines on the roadway indicating moving traffic lanes; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, CB#2-Man. requests that the NYCDOT and the NYSDOT study, analyze and recommend longer term pedestrian safety and traffic improvements with sufficient flexibility as to permit and encourage independent designs tailored to the particular situations for the Greenwich St. corridor.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

5. Washington Street Traffic Lights

WHEREAS the NYC Dept. of Transportation, responding to initiatives of community groups protesting long-standing and increasingly dangerous traffic conditions on Washington St. and to the resolutions of CB#2-Man. has undertaken studies to determine whether additional traffic lights are warranted for public safety at ten (10) Washington St. intersections; and

WHEREAS Councilmember Thomas Duane and several community groups have urged that on completion of the warrant studies (scheduled for about February 28), the NYCDOT convene a meeting of local public officials, CB#2-Man. and concerned community groups, and the city and state department of transportation to review the findings of the warrant studies.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. strongly endorses the concept of a meeting regarding placement of traffic lights on Washington St. and urges the NYCDOT to bring together local public officials, the NYSDOT Route 9A office, West Village houses Tenants Associations, the Coalition for a safe Washington Street, Washington St. Citizens for Safety, and other groups as appropriate to review the traffic-light findings and discuss methods by which growth in traffic hazards and pedestrians injuries on Washington St. Can be reversed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. commends the NYCDOT for undertaking these traffic-light studies as well as carrying our valuable street-marking projects at many Washington St. intersections.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

ZONING AND HOUSING

1. Proposed Rent Increase for Residents of West Village Houses

WHEREAS West Village Houses, born of the vision of Jane Jacobs and the West Village Committee, brought low-rise middle-income housing to the West Village 20 years ago; and

WHEREAS Mitchell-Lama housing such as West Village Houses helps keep our community diverse ethnically, culturally, by age and by income; and

WHEREAS the 16%--18% rent increase requested by the owner, which claims the increase necessary in order to keep its income balanced by expenses with a 6% return on equity, will most likely prove to burdensome to many of the

tenants, particularly the retired tenants and will take the possibility of living in there away from many currently on the waiting lists; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. supports the continuation of West Village Houses as truly affordable housing for the middle class and urges that the rent increase, if any, be kept as low as possible after a fair determination of the need for a rent increase under HUD guidelines; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. urges the owners of West Village Houses to consult closely with existing Tenants Advisory Committee with respect to suggested capital improvements that might result in rent increases (e.g., new windows).

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

2. Application to amend Zoning Resolutions to give the Dept. of Environmental Protection (DEP) 3 more years to develop standards regarding hazardous material testing and remediation at sites with E-designations (CEQR No. 98DCP030Y; ULURP No. N980230ZRY).

WHEREAS, while CB#2-Man. would have preferred that the DEP could develop standards of testing and mitigation with respect to sites with potential hazardous material contamination within the time frame originally provided in the 1994 amendment to Section 11-15 of the Zoning Resolution; and

WHEREAS, the NYC Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative, funded by a USEPA grant, is expected to recommend to the DEP appropriate hazardous materials protocols sometime this year; and

WHEREAS, the DEP estimates that it will take an additional two years to develop a manual for builders setting forth such protocols; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. agrees that the establishment of appropriate protocols for identification and mitigation of hazardous materials in (E) sites is vital, and therefore supports the requested extension of the Sunset Provisions; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED CB#2-Man. also states its disappointment that the DEP was unable to do this within the reasonable time-frame previously allotted urges the DEP to complete its mandate and issue the manual well before the new due date.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

3. Transfer of Air Rights

WHEREAS the DCP has proposed to move potentially more than two million square feet of air rights from theaters in the Times Square area, many of which are landmarked, to as far away as the west side of Eight Avenue, the edge of the Clinton Special District; and

WHEREAS the transfer of unused development rights are usually limited to sites that are adjacent, across the street or cater-corner to the transferee, the current exceptions being the Grand Central area and the South Street Seaport, which are allowed somewhat more liberal transfer rights;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. wishes to express to the DCP its concern about the precedent created by the zoning changes proposed for the Times Square and Clinton communities, whereby air rights are permitted to jump blocks, even from one district into another, and its impact on the land use planning process in Manhattan; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, should such proposals be considered for our own district, the appropriate community boards and elected officials should be involved in all pre-certification discussions, not just business interests and representatives from BIDS.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

BUSINESS AND INSTITUTIONS

1. Applications to the SLA for New Licenses to Sell Liquor on Premises:

Trosel Limited Partnership, LBP Restaurant Corp., General Partner & Two Limited Partners, 150 Varick Street, NYC 10013 (cor. Varick & Vandam)

WHEREAS the applicant is proposing a new establishment whose mode of operations is still conceptual; and

WHEREAS the applicant's hours of operation are designated as 4 PM to 4 AM thereby denoting a club type of liquor establishment; and

WHEREAS this premises, formerly known as BUDDHA BAR, has had a notorious history of flagrant and egregious violations of the State liquor code, as well as New York City regulations by operating an unlicensed cabaret which necessitated action by the NYC Dept. of Consumer Affairs, the NYC Fire Department and the NYC Police Department's 1st Precinct to close down the operation on several occasions by legal padlocking; and

WHEREAS the BUDDHA BAR, subsequent to its padlocking at 150 Varick Street, illegally reopened operations on the second floor of another troublesome premises, THE BLUE MOOSE TAVERN at 179 Varick Street, thus flagrantly violating both NYC and NY State regulations; and

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has been given no evidence that the new ownership organization is not totally severed from the previous BUDDHA BAR ownership; and

WHEREAS Officer William Stiles, of the 1st Police Precinct, who has been directly involved in all of the foregoing violations and padlocking, believes that there are involvements of previous BUDDHA BAR ownership in the new organization; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to have on-premises catering which is likely to exacerbate an already grievous problem by bringing in outside people to a neighborhood that is currently under siege from late night revelers; and

WHEREAS there are approximately 13 establishments with liquor licenses within a 500' radius, thus mandating that the SLA hold a public hearing under the 500' rule so as to allow for community comment, with the record showing that the community residents in attendance herewith being in unanimous opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly recommends denial by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises for Trosel Ltd. Partnership, LBP Restaurant Corp., General Partner, & Two Limited Partners, 150 Varick Street, NYC 10013.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Best in New York II, Inc., 393 Canal Street, NYC 10012 (Canal & Thompson)

WHEREAS the applicant has operated its existing ground floor restaurant for several years and now is proposing to expand its operation to the second floor of its premises with a new "cyber cafe-restaurant" which will serve liquor; and

WHEREAS the applicant's hours of operation are designated as 8 AM to 1 AM thereby denoting a bona-fide restaurant establishment where the serving of liquor is incidental and complementary to its main business of selling food; and

WHEREAS the applicant produced no Certificate of Occupancy for the premises but which is required for CB#2-Man. to recommend unconditional approval; and

WHEREAS there was no neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends conditional approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises for Best in New York II, Inc., 393 Canal Street, NYC 10012 provided that the applicant obtain a Certificate of Occupancy permitting a second floor restaurant.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

129 Management Restaurant Corp., 129 Mulberry Street, NYC 10012 (cor. Hester St.)

WHEREAS the applicant is proposing an upgrading for a new liquor license from beer and wine for its restaurant operation; and

WHEREAS premises has a Certificate of Occupancy for a restaurant; and

WHEREAS premises is the former Umberto's Clam House which has operated without incident since the Joey Gallo homicide; and

WHEREAS notwithstanding the applicant's premises being within 500' of 12 other liquor license establishments whose critical mass are not deemed to have any adverse impact upon the immediate residential neighborhood; and

WHEREAS the applicant has operated this establishment for the past year with no adverse impact upon the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS there was no neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises for 129 Management Corp., 129 Mulberry Street, NYC 10012.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Cafe No Mo Corp., 344 West 11th Street, NYC 10014 (cor. Washington & 11th)

WHEREAS the applicant has been operating a neighborhood 64-seat restaurant since May 1997; and

WHEREAS premises contains 14 apartments according to NYC Housing and Preservation Development Dept. records, the building pre-dating the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy; and

WHEREAS there was no opposition from residents or neighbors;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises for Cafe No Mo Corp., 344 West 11th Street, NYC 10014.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Babbo, LLC, 110 Waverly Place, NYC 10011 (bet. MacDougal St. & 6th Ave.)

WHEREAS the applicant is proposing to reestablish a restaurant in the premises of the former Coach House Restaurant; and

WHEREAS the applicant is an operator of other establishments generally known to the public as bona-fide restaurants with no adverse community impact; and

WHEREAS premises is zoned residential and has been vacant as a restaurant for more than 2 years and therefore constitutes a non-conforming use from a zoning and land use standpoint; and

WHEREAS there was no neighborhood opposition except for the non-conforming use issue;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends that the applicant have the building's owner apply to the NYC Board of Standards & Appeals for a zoning variance to allow for a restaurant; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends that the SLA postpone any action on this matter until such time as a variance from the NYC Board of Standards and Appeals has been obtained.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Cafe Spice, Inc., 72 University Place, NYC 10003

WHEREAS the applicant is proposing to establish a new restaurant with a seating capacity of 80 persons; and

WHEREAS the applicant is a successful operator of other restaurants with no adverse community impact; and

WHEREAS the applicant's plans show no secondary means of egress therefore suggesting that the applicant consider same or amend its plans to reduce the capacity; and

WHEREAS the applicant must obtain alteration of Certificate of Occupancy to accommodate new restaurant use; and

WHEREAS the applicant has indicated he is not proposing any sidewalk cafe; and

WHEREAS there was no neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends the applicant revise its plans to conform to legal capacity/secondary egress conditions and also obtain revised Certificate of Occupancy; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends that the SLA postpone any action on this matter until such time as a revised Certificate of Occupancy has been obtained by the NYC Dept. of Buildings.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Calabritto, Inc., d/b/a Green Tree Cafe & Lounge, 350 West 14th Street a/k/a 674 Hudson Street, NYC 10014

WHEREAS the plans submitted indicate a kitchen whose size is not commensurate with the overall floor area, thus further indicating a possible club-type operation and not a restaurant; and

WHEREAS the introduction of a new on-premise liquor license to a site where none previously existed is not considered to be good public policy in an area where there are 4 other on-premises licensed establishments within 500 feet; and

WHEREAS the growing number of liquor license granted in the "Meat Market" area of the West Village is of concern because of the expanding critical mass and concentration that is likely to have a negative impact upon the surrounding community, including the Meat Market wholesalers who provide services and jobs to the City's vital restaurant trade; and

WHEREAS concern is embodied in a new Community Board planning and land use study of the Meat Market;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises for Calabritto Inc. d/b/a Green Tree Cafe & Lounge, 350 West 14th Street a/k/a 674 Hudson Street, NYC 10014.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Drew Jacobs LLC, 330 Bleecker Street, a/k/a 95 Christopher Street, NYC 10014

WHEREAS the applicant is proposing a 74-person capacity restaurant with hours extending from 8 PM to midnight which will be primarily serving food from breakfast through dinner; and

WHEREAS there are at least 3 other liquor license establishments within 500 feet of the applicant's premises and which are not regarded as detrimental to the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS there is no neighborhood opposition to this application; and

WHEREAS it has come to the attention of CB#2-Man., subsequent to its public hearing, that the applicant is proceeding with renovations in the absence of proper permits from the Dept. of Buildings (DOB); and

WHEREAS this is a landmarked building and therefore requires a permit from the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC); and

WHEREAS there is no evidence presented that the applicant has obtained approval for renovations from the LPC;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends postponement by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on-premises by Drew Jacobs LLC, 330 Bleecker Street, a/k/a 95 Christopher Street, NYC 10014, until such time as the proper permits from the DOB and LPC have been obtained.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

2. Applications to the SLA for Alteration of license to sell liquor on premises.

Mekong Restaurant Corp., 40-44 Prince Street, NYC 10012

WHEREAS this is an alteration to an existing license to allow the applicant to sell liquor on its sidewalk cafe; and

WHEREAS this applicant has operated as a good neighbor for several years and has fit into the neighborhood without any negative impact; and

WHEREAS this is expected to continue as evidenced by the absence of any neighborhood opposition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval by the SLA for a license to sell liquor on premises by Mekong Restaurant Corp. 40-44 Prince St. NYC 10012.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Jet Simple 19 Cleveland Place, NYC 10012

WHEREAS the applicant is applying for an alteration to its existing license to put in a service bar in the basement; and

WHEREAS this applicant has operated since its inception with flagrant disregard to the quality of life for residential tenants in its building as well as neighboring residences as evidenced by late night-early morning noise and music which has disrupted sleep and caused numerous complaints that have been received by CB#2-Man.; and

WHEREAS the applicant has not demonstrated any willingness to redress these complaints and has in fact claimed that they are operating within the law; and

WHEREAS the applicant has yet to produce a Certificate of Occupancy complying with the crowd levels that it allows to enter its premises; and

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has not seen any evidence in the applicant's favor for it to rescind its previous December 1997 resolution, passed unanimously 38 to zero to deny the applicant a liquor license; and

WHEREAS to recommend approval of the applicant's request to expand its license to the basement would only exacerbate what has become an egregious neighborhood problem.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. reaffirms its previous recommendation and most adamantly recommends, urges and beseeches the SLA to deny the applicant's request for an alteration to extend its operation to the basement;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. calls upon the SLA to request the applicant to produce all necessary local NYC regulatory documents such as the required Certificate of Occupancy denoting the legal capacity of persons that can be accommodated; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. calls upon the SLA to hold a public hearing on this matter and allow testimony by the residents of 19 Cleveland Place and its adjacent neighbors at 17 and 21 Cleveland Place as to the applicant's conduct which did not occur at the initial hearing and which should have been heard before the SLA voted to grant such license; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that on the basis of the above evidence that the SLA reconsider its previous action and resolve that the granting of this license with some 16 liquor license establishments within 500' was not in the public interest and consider revocation of same; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. calls upon the 5th Police Precinct to produce the record of complaints that the residents testified they registered with the precinct.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

The following applicants have made application for new liquor licenses for on-premises consumption but did not appear for the public hearing:

•D'Amici, Inc. 785 Broadway, NYC 10003

•Jones Enterprises, Inc. 135 Christopher St. NYC 10014

WHEREAS the applicants did not request a postponement and can be considered as being indifferent to the legal and administrative process that they are

called upon to uphold as potential licensees of the State acting for the public;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly recommends the denial by the SLA of liquor licenses for on-premises consumption by the aforementioned applicants; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the SLA direct the applicants to adhere to the licensing process by further directing them to appear before CB#2-Man. for a public hearing before consideration by the SLA.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Renewals:

BCJT 179 Corp., 179-181 Varick St.

WHEREAS this licensee has numerous NYPD summonses and arrest citations issued by the 1st Police Precinct dating to 4/6/97; 4/9/97; 5/24/97; 5/25/97; 8/17/97; for fighting, assault, underage liquor sales, among other violations; and

WHEREAS this licensee has had a history of flagrant violations operating under the trade name Blue Moose and has an egregious effect upon the neighboring community;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. once again, as it did on November 21, 1996, strongly recommends denial of the renewal by the SLA of a license to sell liquor on-premises for BCJT 179 Corp., 179-181 Varick St.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

SPY, 101 Greene Street

WHEREAS this licensee has a history of complaints for loud music emanating from the premises which have been logged into the NYC Department of Environmental Protection and which we urge the SLA to review; and

WHEREAS there are numerous NYPD summonses and arrest citations issues by the 1st Precinct dating to 11/10/96; 12/1/96; 1/17/97; 1/31/97; 6/4/97; 6/29/97; 7/14/97; 9/26/97; 11/21/97; 1/3/98; for fighting, assault, under age liquor sales, among other violations;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly recommends denial of the renewal by the SLA of a license to sell liquor on-premises for SPY, 101 Greene Street.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

VON DESIGN, LTD., d/b/a VON, 3 Bleecker Street

WHEREAS residential tenants in this building still continue to register complaints about noise, loud music, tobacco smoke and exiting crowds that are generated by this licensee's operations; and

WHEREAS this licensee has control over the building's heat and boiler which has been turned off at numerous times by the licensee to the discomfort and peril of the building's residents;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. calls upon the SLA to use its license renewal powers to help redress these complaints by the residents who are suffering from licensee's operations; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends conditional renewal by the SLA for VON DESIGN, d/b/a Von, 3 Bleecker St. for a beer and wine license.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

3. FY 1999 PRELIMINARY BUDGET

WHEREAS the Mayor's FY 1999 Preliminary Budget reduces funding to the New York Public Library by a total of \$4.55 million; and

WHEREAS if these cuts are enacted, significant reductions will need to be made from the newly increased book budget and from the three new Connecting Libraries and Schools Project (CLASP) districts; and

WHEREAS a result of the increase in materials in the FY '98 Budget, circulation in The Branches increased by over 10%. This growth in circulation is a powerful representation of the importance of materials funding on quality library service; and

WHEREAS if the cuts proposed in the Mayor's Preliminary Budget are enacted these gains in circulation will be reversed; and

WHEREAS the expansion of CLASP funding in FY '98 for three new school districts broadened the reach of the partnership between schools and libraries to 77,000 new students; and

WHEREAS an institution that prides itself on offering free programming and services to our patrons, The New York Public Library provided 29,000 free literacy, informational and cultural programs in FY 1998. The Branch Libraries offered programs such as read-a-thons, summer reading programs, and author reads. English as a Second Language courses for over 3,000 people, as well as Family Literacy, GED classes, Internet training and Reading Reform Programs were also offered;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. urges the Mayor and the City Council to restore the \$4.55 million in cuts to the New York Public Library. CB#2-Man. also requests full restoration to the book budget and that additional support be provided to allow the Library to further increase its book budget to adequate levels. Also, we request that the cuts to CLASP be restored and that this program receive additional funds for its complete city-wide phase-in.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

ARTS

BUDGET/ARTS FUNDING

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has created a standing Arts Committee out of a recognition that the Arts are an integral part of the educational, cultural and financial vibrancy and well-being of our district and New York City, and that the Arts are an important part of the City's economic revival, tourist industry, diversity and stature as a capital of culture; and

WHEREAS expense budget cuts to the Department of Cultural Affairs amounting to more than 10% to the CIG (Cultural Institutions Group) and 40% to the Program Group will devastate institutions already hurt by ten years of federal, state and City funding cuts; and

WHEREAS a 9.5% cut in capital funding to cultural institutions makes it difficult for major arts organizations to maintain their facilities, and meet the needs of expanding constituencies; and

WHEREAS for example, the New York Shakespeare Festival/Joseph Papp Public Theater suffers a loss of immediate capital funding (through a \$600,000 cut and the rolling back of an additional \$300,000 in funding to FY 02) of \$900,000 and this vital cultural organization provides not only high-caliber theater, but free events including Shakespeare in Central Park and educational programs;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly recommends that the Mayor and the New York City Council provide the leadership and support that has made New York the thriving cultural center it is now, and restore funding cuts to the Department of Cultural Affairs in the capital and expense budgets.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

LANDMARKS

PUBLIC HEARING: LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION 2/24/98

1. **LPC Item: 11 115 Greene Street, aka 110 Prince Street.** A one-story commercial building built in 1966. Application is to legalize installation of flagpoles and banners without LPC permits.

WHEREAS originally one banner and flagpole existed for the store "FACE" on the main Prince Street facade without receiving a violation; and

WHEREAS another business in the building then placed a banner and pole on the Greene Street facade; and

WHEREAS FACE then placed another flagpole and banner on the Greene Street side of the building; and

WHEREAS FACE now has two identical flagpoles and banners situated at right angles within feet of each other on the corner of the building; and

WHEREAS the banner itself at 4f X 6f is an appropriate size for the building, and its graphics do not detract from the character of this simple building or from the Historic District; and

WHEREAS two banners and flagpoles are an over-saturation and unnecessary, and clutter the corner of this one-story building;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application for two banners and flagpoles and suggests that one banner and flagpole would be more appropriate.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

2. **LPC Item: 12 120 Mercer Street, a.k.a. 555 Broadway** A store building built in 1889. Application is to paint a sign on the north elevation.

WHEREAS our Community Board has been inundated with huge advertising signs at an unprecedented rate; and

WHEREAS the LPC uses judicious restraint in controlling the size and proliferation of banners and awnings; and

WHEREAS the proposed painted sign is to be 50f X 30f, i.e. 1500f, in black and white; and

WHEREAS no advertising sign has ever appeared on that building, nor, for that matter, at any time, anywhere along the length of Mercer Street; and

WHEREAS unlike advertisements on the Houston Street Corridor, this huge sign on a narrow, residential street will overwhelm the passerby and distract from the character of the adjacent buildings; and

WHEREAS whenever these huge signs appear, the Community Board is flooded with complaints from citizens; and

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has called for a moratorium on such billboards or use of building facades in the wake of recent threat of inundation, in order to review the ramifications and possible impact on Historic Districts and other areas in CB#2-Man.; and

WHEREAS the applicant did not have a sign-off from the Department of Buildings;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

3. **LPC Item: 13 113-121 Prince Street.** Three French Renaissance style warehouse buildings built in 1891. Application is to alter the storefronts and install flagpole and banner.

WHEREAS there is little historical record on the building; and

WHEREAS the proposal is to consolidate and re-arrange the doors and have one commercial entrance on each of the three bays; and

WHEREAS a horizontal header will be added to give it horizontal continuity and conformity; and

WHEREAS the decorative grill work will be replicated in all the bays; and

WHEREAS the stanchion that was placed in the cast iron will be removed and replaced; and

WHEREAS the applicant was open to a suggestion to take out a header from the elevator bay to make the treatment balanced and consistent;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

4. LPC Item: 14 84-88 Wooster Street, a.k.a. 134-136 Spring Street. A Beaux-Arts Classical style mercantile building built in 1896. Application is to install one new flagpole and relocate existing flagpole.

WHEREAS the application is to re-position one of three existing flagpoles and banners on this large building so as to place all three on the same horizontal plane; and

WHEREAS the flagpole will be attached to wooden windows and not the cast iron; and

WHEREAS commendably, the applicant is voluntarily reducing the size of the banners from 5f X 8f to 3f X 8f and the size of the flagpole from 10f to 8f; and

WHEREAS these banners are in scale with, and do not detract from, the architecture of the building;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

5. LPC Item: 15 60 Grand Street. A neo-Classical style loft building built in 1895-96. Application is to paint a sign on the side elevation.

WHEREAS another advertising sign (opposed to an accessory business sign) is to be placed on a wall in SoHo; and

WHEREAS there has been a history of advertising signs at the top of this building facade, with three layers of pre-existing phantom signs still observable; and

WHEREAS this is only one of two walls in SoHo that historically had an advertising sign (the other is the sign wall on Broadway/Houston, currently DKNY); and

WHEREAS this building is mid-sized (about six floors); and

WHEREAS adjacent to the applicant building there exists a low-rise two-story building with an appealing gingerbread treatment; and

WHEREAS the existing historical signs begin at about 50 feet off the ground, but the applicant stated that the proposed sign would begin at between 25f to 30f off the ground, a less subtle, a more in-you-face approach; and

WHEREAS the alignment of the advertisement along its vertical axis produces an awkward arrangement via-a-vis the horizontal line of the adjacent two-story buildings underneath it; and

WHEREAS the proposed vertical alignment will also cause the existing historical phantom ads to peek out from behind the proposed sign, again an awkward effect; and

WHEREAS the ad copy showed a smart, but at the same time, stark and modern sign which unfortunately is out of scale and out of character with the quaint gingerbread building adjacent to it; and

WHEREAS the proposed sign would distract and detract from the Historic District and the streetscape;

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has called for a moratorium on such billboards or use of building facades in the wake of recent threat of inundation, in order to review the ramifications and possible impact on Historic Districts and other areas in CB#2-Man.;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

6. LPC Item: 16 435 West Broadway, a.k.a. 140 Prince Street. A manufacturing and warehouse building built in 1879. Application is to install storefronts and signage.

WHEREAS a new glass door with a new storefront window assembly is to be installed; and

WHEREAS the applicant wishes to remove the window mullions and replace with two large pieces of glass, connected with a butt joint covered by contemporary metal buttons, between the cast-iron columns; and

WHEREAS the shoe is being covered in stainless steel; and

WHEREAS two additional new blade signs measuring a modest 12" X 16" will be installed so as not to damage the fabric of the building; but

WHEREAS color samples did not match the verbal description given by the applicant; and

WHEREAS the solid glass door without frame and the large glass window is quite a modern treatment for this building; and

WHEREAS historical research was lacking, and the proposal seems to be getting away from the original architecture - instead of getting closer to it;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application, but recommends that the applicant continue to work with LPC to resolve the concerns mentioned above.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

7. LPC Item: 17 502 Broadway a.k.a. 44 Crosby Street. A commercial building built in 1860. Application is to legalize the installation of light fixtures without LPC permits.

WHEREAS five modern, industrial-style lighting with high intensity bulbs were installed along the length of the building; and

WHEREAS this lighting has nothing to do with the building nor the Historic District;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

8. LPC Item: 18 121-123 Greene Street A warehouse built in 1882. Application is to legalize the installation of two flagpoles and banners without LPC permits.

WHEREAS there were community complaints about the size and placement of these banners and flagpoles on this building which underwent an extensive facade restoration under a 74-711 application ten years ago; and

WHEREAS flagpoles and banners were not approved at that time; and

WHEREAS adjacent buildings have also gone through similar and extensive facade restorations, which contribute to the character of the Historic District; and

WHEREAS all the legal banners on the block are of an appropriate and modest size; and

WHEREAS photographs were presented to the community board that showed how these oversized banners overwhelmed and obscured the facade of the applicant building; and

WHEREAS photographs showed that the facades of the adjacent buildings were also seriously blocked from the view of the casual passer-by or an interested observer; and

WHEREAS the applicant presented photographs of existing banners on the block directly south, but such huge banners and long flagpoles are primarily the work of one overzealous property owner and anyway probably do have not permits;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application and suggests that the applicant consider halving the size of the banners in order to reveal more of the facade of this building which adds so much to the character and architecture of the Historic District.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

9. LPC: 19 475 West Broadway A neo-Grec style tenement built in 1878. Application is to erect an advertising wall sign on the north elevation.

WHEREAS the applicant telephoned prior to the meeting regarding his attendance, but did not appear at the meeting; and

WHEREAS this proposed advertising sign is to be on a wall in an M1 District, which wall has been measured by the Chair of the Landmarks Committee to be 75 feet from a zoned Residence District; and

WHEREAS according to Section 42-541 of the Zoning Resolution (Special Provisions Applying along District Boundaries) (12/15/61) "in all M1 districts, and within 100 feet of the street line of any street or portion thereof in which the boundary of an adjoining Residence District is located, or which adjoins a public park of one-half acre or more, signs which face at an angle of less than 165 degrees away from such Residence District or park shall be limited to accessory business signs"; and

WHEREAS the existing artwork on the wall was part of the historic city wall art movement and may be protected as culturally significant under the Federal Visual Artists Rights' Act; and

WHEREAS the artwork may have been created prior to Landmarks designation;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application until more information is found out about the zoning and the artists' rights. Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

10. LPC Item: 20 162 Spring Street, a.k.a. 399 West Broadway One of a group of four Federal style residences built in 1819. Application is to install a new entry ramp and railings, stairs, cornice, awning, signage, and exterior trim and finish.

WHEREAS this is one of the oldest buildings in the Community Board; and

WHEREAS the retractable awning, the new wooden cornice and door, and the wooden base are in keeping with the character of the district in style and material; and

WHEREAS the drop-down fire ladder modification does not detract from the architecture of the building; and

WHEREAS the recessed fluorescent lighting signage is too modern, where a simple goose-neck fixture might suffice; and

WHEREAS the ramp will be made of non-slip concrete with a railing of colonial-type molding in metal; and

WHEREAS a six-foot high NYC Fire Department call box (possibly inoperable) is located about one foot from the curb and three feet from the edge of the proposed ramp at this very busy intersection; and

WHEREAS strollers will be forced into the street and four-lane vehicular traffic in that congested intersection in order to pass, and this will present a pedestrian safety problem; and

WHEREAS this is a admirable attempt, but the large ramp looks inappropriate for a building of this age and size;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. commends the applicant for most of the proposal, but recommends that the applicant work further with staff to solve the dilemma of the sidewalk congestion problem caused by the placement of a wide ramp and fire alarm box at the corner of a busy intersection and further develop the detail and material of the ramp and handrail to minimize its effect on the historic character of the building and district.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

PUBLIC HEARING: LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION, 3/3/98.

11. **LPC Item: 10 359 Bleecker Street.** A rowhouse built in 1829. Application is to legalize the installation of an awning without LPC permits.

Laid over.

12. **LPC Item: 11 54-58 Greenwich Avenue/(Perry).** A brick residence built in 1861. Application is to legalize the installation of a storefront, awnings and signs without LPC permits.

WHEREAS the applicant appeared and expressed his wish to remedy the violations; and

WHEREAS proposed work included the removal of roll-down gates and metal sheeting around the door; and

WHEREAS the proposal call for the installation of six wooden columns to be painted; and

WHEREAS there was not enough detail of the proposal submitted with which we could make an informed recommendation for the new design;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial for legalization and recommends that the applicant further work with LPC to better detail the proposed scope of work.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

13. **LPC Item: 12 27 West 11th Street.** An apartment house built in 1889. Application is to install a flagpole.

WHEREAS the applicant failed to appear and missed an important step in the public review process; and

WHEREAS The West 11th Block Association submitted a letter on its letterhead requesting denial; and

WHEREAS a flagpole on an apartment house is out of character in the Greenwich Village H.D;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

14. **LPC Item: 13 143 Perry Street** A vernacular style residence built in 1859. Application is to legalize the installation of a storefront and sign without LPC permits.

WHEREAS the existing projecting sign may not be legal with the Department of Buildings; and

WHEREAS the application is for legalization, but the applicant appeared requesting changes to the storefront; and

WHEREAS the plan was not well enough detailed for a well-thought out plan to emerge;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application and suggests that the applicant work further with the LPC staff.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

15. **LPC Item: 14 330 Bleecker Street a.k.a. 95 Christopher Street** A brick building built in 1930. Application is to install storefronts and awnings.

WHEREAS the LPC's publication The Certificate of Appropriateness Public Hearing: Information for Applicants states that "applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the Community Board to arrange for review of the proposal before the public hearing"; and

WHEREAS the applicant did not contact the Community Board or appear before the Landmarks Committee to present this application;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application in the absence of this important step in the review process;

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

16. LPC Item: 15 230 West 10th Street. An altered 19th century stable. Application is to alter ground floor infill, and courtyard and rear facades.

WHEREAS adaptive re-use from mixed-use to one-family residential use (utilizing Loft Law codes) requires maximum use of courtyard fenestration to achieve light and air that the building codes require; and

WHEREAS this project is best broken into three phases: rear yard, a center courtyard and a front facade; and

WHEREAS proposed work on the unified rear facade will add two windows for a stairwell and will not detract from the character of the district; and

WHEREAS a center courtyard will be created with window walls of almost total fenestration, but in a unified scheme with only a little corner barely visible from the street; and

WHEREAS an existing garage door in the front will be removed; and

WHEREAS to eliminate confusion between what is old and what is new, there will be a recessed glazed wall behind masonry that holds two large bi-fold wooden security shutters; and

WHEREAS no sightline drawings were supplied to ascertain if the elevator bulkhead will be visible from the street; and

WHEREAS there is a front facade of bad faux brickwork and misguided alterations; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of this application with the suggestion that the applicant work with the LPC to reconsider improving the front facade considering the extensive changes to the rear and courtyard.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

17. LPC Item: 16 61 Grove Street, a.k.a. 76 Christopher Street An apartment building built in 1890. Application is to paint a wall sign.

WHEREAS the application is for a 44' X 15' advertising sign and not an accessory business sign; and

WHEREAS the application declares that the location is zoned "LMM" but the Community Board Directory zoning map shows it is zoned C4 - 5; and

WHEREAS Section 32-62(12/15/61) of the Zoning Resolution states that "in C4 districts non-illuminated, accessory business signs...are permitted"; and

WHEREAS the same Section expressly does not permit advertising signs in a C4 district; and

WHEREAS a sign on that building was removed "prior to 1962" according to the applicant, about the same time that Section 32-62 of the zoning that blocked these eyesores took effect throughout the five boroughs; and

WHEREAS photographs presented by the applicant do not present accurately the accumulation of signs within that major Village intersection;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application until the applicant gets signed objections sheet from the Department of Buildings.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

18. LPC Item: 17 9 Charlton Street. Charlton-King-VanDam H.D. A Federal style residence built in 1829. Application is to paint a sign of the side wall.

WHEREAS the applicant failed to appear before the Community Board to present any details; and

WHEREAS this proposed sign is within an R7-2 Residence District; and

WHEREAS Section 22-32 of the Zoning Resolution(8/26/65) states that "in an all R districts non-illuminated accessory business signs are permitted as set forth in this Section, subject to the provisions of Section 22-34 (Additional Regulations)"; and

WHEREAS this wall has had a gigantic plastic billboard about 1500 square feet erected on it for months despite community efforts to have it removed; and

WHEREAS the billboard was an advertising sign and not an accessory business sign for a business inside the building (it's a family residence) and does not conform to the zoning resolution; and

WHEREAS the President of the Charlton Street Block Association presented a petition signed by over a hundred residents of tiny Charlton Street demanding no advertising sign be allowed on that prominent wall; and

WHEREAS Good Morning New York news reporter Dick Oliver used this wall sign as a backdrop for a TV broadcast on the rampant intrusion of advertising signs into our Historic Districts; and

WHEREAS two residents waited all evening to report to the Community Board neighbor's disgust and vandalism towards this billboard which dominates the view of the bottom of Prince Street from as far away as Broadway in the Cast-Iron District - a third of a mile away;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends denial of this application.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor:

SIDEWALKS, PUBLIC FACILITIES AND ACCESS

1. **Renewal** of application for revocable consent by 567 Hudson St., Inc. d/b/a White Horse Tavern, 567 Hudson St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 29 tables and 86 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access; and

WHEREAS there appeared to be no outstanding violations;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three (3)-year revocable consent to 567 Hudson St., Inc., d/b/a White Horse Tavern, 567 Hudson St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 29 tables and 86 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

2. **Renewal** of application for revocable consent by The Nut Club, Ltd. d/b/a Garage Restaurant, 99 Seventh Avenue So., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 20 tables and 40 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS the restaurant/bar currently has 20 tables and 40 seats at this location and the applicant has applied for an increase to 29 tables and 58 seats; and

WHEREAS 3 speakers from the community spoke against adding more tables and chairs at this location indicating that it would make existing crowding and noise problems worse and further degrade the quality-of-life for nearby residents; and

WHEREAS there is a subway station located at Sheridan Square that produces a large amount of pedestrian traffic and congestion; and

WHEREAS there already exists 4 restaurants on the block thus creating more pedestrian traffic; and

WHEREAS there are subway grates directly in front of this establishment that already impede pedestrian passage and additional tables will require that

more sidewalk space be denied to pedestrians at this busy corner of our community if the applicant were approved said increase;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three (3)-year revocable consent to The Nut Club, Ltd. d/b/a Garage Restaurant, 99 Seventh Avenue So., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 20 tables and 40 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

3. **Renewal** of application for revocable consent by Feenjon Corp. d/b/a Panchito's Restaurant, 103 Macdougall St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 12 tables and 27 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, the applicant appeared before the committee and 2 speakers from the community spoke in support of the application; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access; and

WHEREAS the cellar doors are closed and not in use as chairs and tables cover them;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three (3)-year revocable consent to Feenjon Corp. d/b/a Panchito's Restaurant, 103 Macdougall St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 12 tables and 27 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

4. **Renewal** of application for revocable consent by Two Bacalhaus, Inc. d/b/a Pao, 322 Spring St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 16 tables and 32 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee ; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three (3)-year revocable consent to Two Bacalhaus, Inc. d/b/a Pao, 322 Spring St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 16 tables and 32 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

5. **Renewal** of application for revocable consent by Claudisal Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Da Massimo, 206 Thompson St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 5 tables and 10 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to keep the tables and chairs within the limits of the sidewalk cafe area;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three- (3) year revocable consent to Claudisal Restaurant Corp. d/b/a Da Massimo, 206 Thompson St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 5 tables and 10 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

6a. **Renewal** of application for revocable consent by Zonor Restaurant Corp. d/b/a The Riviera, 225 W. 4th St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 27 tables and 60 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three- (3) year revocable consent to Zonor Restaurant Corp. d/b/a The Riviera, 225 W. 4th St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 27 tables and 60 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

- 6b. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Zonor Restaurant Corp. d/b/a The Riviera, 225 W. 4th St., to operate an enclosed sidewalk cafe with 17 tables and 36 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and access;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three- (3) year revocable consent to Zonor Restaurant Corp. d/b/a The Riviera, 225 W. 4th St., to operate an enclosed sidewalk cafe with 17 tables and 36 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

7. Renewal of application for revocable consent by A.C.A. 110 Mulberry, Inc. d/b/a La Bella Ferrara Coffee Shop, 110 Mulberry St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 6 tables and 12 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to keep the tables and chairs within the limits of the sidewalk cafe area;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three- (3) year revocable consent to A.C.A. 110 Mulberry, Inc. d/b/a La Bella Ferrara Coffee Shop, 110 Mulberry St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 6 tables and 12 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

8. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Mekong Restaurant Corp., 44 Prince St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 6 tables and 12 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to keep the tables and chairs within the limits of the sidewalk cafe area;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three- (3) year revocable consent to Mekong Restaurant Corp., 44 Prince St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 6 tables and 12 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

9. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Slander & Kareem Cafe, Inc., d/b/a Caffè Raffaella, 134 Seventh Avenue So., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 8 tables and 19 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to keep the tables and chairs within the limits of the sidewalk cafe area;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a three- (3) year revocable consent to Slander & Kareem Cafe, Inc., d/b/a Caffè Raffaella, 134 Seventh Avenue So., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 8 tables and 19 seats.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

10. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Andikiana Corp. d/b/a Silver Spurs, 490 LaGuardia Pl., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 16 tables and 32 seats.

WHEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at the Board office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to keep the tables and chairs within the limits of the sidewalk cafe area;

THEREFORE BE
 community an
 presented by
 February 4,
 Vote: Passed
 2a. Consider
 property
 and how
 and especially
 THEREAS the
 and especially
 THEREAS opp
 THEREAS the
 alternative
 THEREAS the
 THEREAS the
 remain unbu
 THEREAS at
 constructi
 THEREAS or
 side of H
 THEREAS t
 that is
 Greenwich
 Carmine F
 neighborhood
 THEREAS t
 THEREFOR
 Environm
 to give
 addition
 such spa
 BE IT F
 need for
 BE IT F
 best po
 Vote: F
 2b. P
 THEREAS
 a water
 Square
 THEREAS
 buildi
 dating
 and
 THERE
 design
 Place
 THERE
 resi
 arou
 THERE
 Man.
 comm
 shal
 BE 1

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a
 year revocable consent to Andikiana Corp. d/b/a Silver Spurs, 45
 Pl., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 16 tables and 3
 Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.
 11. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Santa Margh
 Sambuca's Cafe, 105 Mulberry St., to operate an unenclosed sid
 with 8 tables and 16 seats.
 THEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file a
 office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and
 THEREAS the applicant agreed to keep the tables and chairs within
 of the sidewalk cafe area;
 THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a
 year revocable consent to Santa Margherita d/b/a Sambuca's, 105 Mu
 to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 8 tables and 16 seats;
 Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.
 12. Renewal of application for revocable consent by Jeff's Bar &
 d/b/a Google's, 237 Sullivan St., to operate an enclosed sid
 with 8 tables and 18 seats.
 THEREAS the area was posted, there were no complaints on file at
 office, and the applicant appeared before the committee; and
 THEREAS the applicant agreed to keep the tables and chairs within
 of the sidewalk cafe area;
 THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a
 year revocable consent to Jeff's Bar & Restaurant d/b/a Google
 Sullivan St., to operate an enclosed sidewalk cafe with 8 tabl
 seats.
 Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.
 13. New application for revocable consent by Drew Jacobs LLC, 33
 St. aka 95 Christopher St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk
 5 tables and 10 seats.
 THEREAS the area was posted, this restaurant is not yet in operatio
 applicant appeared before the committee; and
 THEREAS there is sufficient passage for pedestrian safety and acc
 THEREAS the applicant has agreed to place the tables against the
 line;
 THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. recommends approval of a
 year revocable consent to Drew Jacobs LLC, 330 Bleeker St. aka 95
 Christopher St., to operate an unenclosed sidewalk cafe with 5 tabl
 seats.
 Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.
 1. Department of Parks, final plans for renovation of Downing Park
 Ave. & Downing St.; Minetta Park at Sixth Ave. & Minetta St.; a
 area in front of 25 Minetta Lane on Sixth Ave.
 THEREAS the local community has for years complained about the cond
 the Downing St. Park, the Minetta Triangle and the area in fro
 Minetta Lane; and
 THEREAS plans to renovate these three areas have been worked on
 citizens of BAMRA and Downing St., together with CB#2-Man. and the
 Parks; and
 THEREAS Councilmember Thomas Duane, who has cooperated and helped
 beginning of this project, has been instrumental in making \$400,000
 to make it possible.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man., in order to help beautify our community and improve amenities for our residents, supports the plans presented by the New York City Dept. of Parks to the Parks Committee on February 4, 1998, for the restoration of these three park areas

Vote: Passed with 32 Board members in favor, and 1 abstention.

2a. Consideration of possible future playground on city water tunnel #3 property to be built either on the east side of Hudson St. bet. Clarkson and Houston St. or the northeast corner of Leroy and Greenwich St.

WHEREAS the neighborhoods of CB#2-Man. suffers from a severe lack of parks, and especially fields where children can play sports; and

WHEREAS opportunities to create new parks are extremely rare; and

WHEREAS the New York City Dept. of Environmental Protection is considering alternative sites for locating Shaft 28B of City Tunnel #3; and

WHEREAS the site that is chosen will be acquired by the City of New York and remain unbuilt;

WHEREAS after construction is complete, the site would be available for construction of a public park; and

WHEREAS one of the sites being considered is the 1/2 acre lot on the east side of Hudson St. between West Houston and Clarkson Sts.; and

WHEREAS this site is across the street from J.J. Walker Park, a ball field that is currently heavily used by popular youth programs including the Greenwich Village Little League, the Downtown United Soccer Club, the Carmine Flag Football League, and Camp Carmine, as well as public and private neighborhood schools and adult softball leagues; and

WHEREAS this site is also adjacent to City as a School, a public high school.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. urges the Department of Environmental Protection, the Office of Management and Budget, and the Mayor, to give strong consideration to the great need of this community for additional park space and the unique opportunity of this project to create such space when deciding which site to choose for the water tunnel shaft; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, CB#2-Man. urges the Dept. of Parks to confirm the need for additional park space in this neighborhood; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all concerned work with CB#2-Man. to provide the best possible result for the community.

Vote: Passed, with 30 Board members in favor and 3 abstentions.

2b. Proposed Water-Shaft/Park Siting at Bowery & East 4th Street

WHEREAS the Department of Environmental Protection has proposed constructing a water shaft (Shaft 31B) at the northwest corner of The Bowery (Cooper Square) and East 4th St.; and

WHEREAS the building on the site, described in the DEP proposal as a "vacant building", is actually a Landmark Building (known as the Skidmore House) dating from the 1830s, with vulnerability to vibrations from heavy equipment; and

WHEREAS the site is adjacent to the Old Merchant's House Museum, also a designated Landmark Building, which is on the National Register of Historic Places and also vulnerable to vibrations; and

WHEREAS the neighborhood adjacent to the site is largely and increasingly residential and yet is totally unserved by open park space for many blocks around.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that as DEP moves forward with this proposal, CB#2-Man. urges that the DEP and the Department of Parks develop a plan for community consideration for the installation of a park on this site once the shaft is complete; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. insists all necessary steps be taken

to preserve, protect, and enhance the Landmark Buildings on and adjacent to the site; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. strongly urges that the DEP and Department of Parks consult with CB#2-Man. and the adjacent neighborhoods throughout the process, beyond the formal requirements of the ULURP procedure.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

3. **Progress report on family day in Washington Square Park being planned by Bob O'Sullivan of Washington Square Park playground group and other park organizations.**

WHEREAS a number of out standing community organizations have gotten together to plan a village family day in Washington Square Park; and

WHEREAS these organization many are already involved in helping and keeping Washington Square Park a beautiful Park; and

WHEREAS the Washington Square Playgroup, New York University, Friends of Washington Square, and others have been meeting with the Dept. of Parks supervisor K.C. Sahl to plan this event for May 2, 1998.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that CB#2-Man. heartfully endorses and supports this outstanding community event and urges the Dept. of Parks to follow suit.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

WATERFRONT

1. **New York City's Proposed 197-A Waterfront Plan**

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. generally supports the Waterfront Revitalization Program but has concerns specific to our district;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. requests that the Department of City Planning take into account the concerns specific to our district in relation to the Waterfront Revitalization Program that follow:

CB#2-Man. does not support commercial or residential development west of Route 9A within the confines of our district; and

CB#2-Man. does not support industrial uses west of Route 9A within the confines of our district; and

CB#2-Man. opposes the use of our waterfront for gambling boats or shuttles to gambling boats and/or land-based facilities; and

CB#2-Man. supports the immediate relocation of all Department of Sanitation functions from in and around the Gansevoort Peninsula; and

CB#2-Man. requests that all potentially eligible structures for listing on the National Register of Historic Places be considered in the WRP; and

The concerns listed above reflect our vision for the proposed Hudson River Park within our district.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

2. **Use of property by Route 9A Project on the east side of 10th Avenue between Little West 12th and 13th Streets.**

WHEREAS the Route 9A Project is acquiring property on the east side of 10th Avenue between Little West 12th and 13th Streets for the service road and replacement parking; and

WHEREAS the current Route 9A plans do not provide for any possible park space in this area; and

WHEREAS this area of our district has lost park space as part of the proposed Hudson River Park because Route 9A's alignment has moved further west in the vicinity of Horatio Street;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. strongly urges the NYS Department of Transportation Route 9A Project to provide for parkland within this area of

the reconstruction project for the enjoyment of the surrounding community; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. requests that Route 9A staff attend the March 1998 Waterfront Committee meeting to specifically address this issue.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 members in favor.

ENVIRONMENT

DRAFT EIS FOR CROTON FILTRATION PLANT MUST INCLUDE STUDY OF NON-FILTRATION ALTERNATIVE

WHEREAS, New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) is currently conducting public scoping meetings in New York City and Westchester for the purpose of establishing what will be the scope of its Draft Environmental Impact Statement regarding the planned filtration plant for the Croton water supply; and

WHEREAS, such a filtration plant traps pathogens, and by so concentrating them creates a considerable potential health hazard in the eventuality of human or mechanical error, such as occurred in Milwaukee in the summer of 1993, when over 100 people died of cryptosporidiosis and over half the population was made ill; and

WHEREAS, CB#2-Man. has an unusually high number of people whose compromised immune systems make them vulnerable to waterborne parasitic disease, such as cryptosporidiosis, including one of the highest AIDS case rates in New York City; and

WHEREAS, CB#2-Man. receives Croton drinking water, and in resolutions passed in March 1997 and January 1998 CB#2-Man. stated its concern regarding the potential dangers to health in filtering the Croton system; and

WHEREAS, has been following a so-called second track, an analysis of non-filtration techniques, and has stated its commitment to continuing to do so over the next two or three years;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. appreciates and applauds the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) stated commitment to continuing with its second track analysis of non-filtration techniques which might obviate the need for a filtration plant; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the essence of the Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS/EIS) as required by CEQR/SEQRA is that the build alternatives and the no-build alternatives be thoroughly and equally studied, offering all alternatives for examination; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that while CB#2-Man. understands that analysis of non-filtration techniques for the second track will take time, we respectfully remind that thorough and equal study of the no-build alternative is not possible without including analysis of non-filtration techniques, and that such studies are the purpose of Environmental Impact Statements.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

SOCIAL SERVICES, HOMELESS AND SENIOR SERVICES

Operating Funds for Supported Housing for People Living with HIV/AIDS

WHEREAS CB#2-Man. has included the creation of housing for people living with HIV and AIDS in its Budget Priorities for the past several years, and

WHEREAS the entire city of New York still has only 910 units of supportive "congregate" housing and another 1930 "scattered site" apartments while the city and state continue to budget \$19.8 million for rooms in commercial Single Room Occupancy facilities (SROs) for the more than 1650 people who still lack permanent, medically-appropriate housing, and

WHEREAS New York City has accumulated more than \$100 million in unspent Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS (HOPWA) funds and has failed to use these Federal funds to develop housing, and

WHEREAS AIDS housing advocates have warned the city that its failure to use available Federal funds to develop housing is not only a local scandal but one which could devastate national efforts to increase HOPWA funds (note: it

is claimed by some AIDS-housing experts that unspent HOPWA funds could finance the increase requested by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) five times over), and

WHEREAS New York City's government has failed for the past three years to provide long-term operational funding for such housing, thus preventing the Human Resources Administration (HRA) from issuing a Request for Proposals (RFP) for two years, and

WHEREAS New York City's government has consistently fallen short of its 1995 Consolidated Plan's predicted needs and stated goals in this area of concern, and

WHEREAS New York City's government projected an AIDS caseload of 18,914 for June 1998, but the actual caseload was more than 20,300 as of December 1997, and

WHEREAS about 25% of new Division of AIDS Services Income Support (DASIS) clients are either homeless or inadequately housed (with housing their greatest single identified need), and

WHEREAS AIDS deaths in New York City have dropped by 48% last year and continue to decline (and increased life expectancy means that more people will need more housing for longer periods of time), and

WHEREAS people with AIDS who lack stable housing with food and a refrigerator do not have effective access to the new treatments which could extend their lives, and

WHEREAS other people with AIDS who cannot tolerate the new treatments or are too far along in the course of their illness to find them of real help are, nevertheless, in need of supported, medically-appropriate housing,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. demands that the city's Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) promptly complete a Memorandum of Understanding with the HRA so that groups wishing to develop supported housing for people living with HIV and AIDS can be assured of adequate operating funds, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB#2-Man. demands that the city government consult with and be guided by the advices of people living AIDS, the representatives of community-based organizations that serve them, the Health and Human Services HIV Planning Council and its Housing Works Group and expend its Federal HOPWA funds (and the operating funds that must be connected to them) in providing New Yorkers living with AIDS with the housing they need to keep themselves from death's doorstep.

Vote: Unanimous, with 33 Board members in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Lora Tenenbaum
Secretary
Community Board #2, Manhattan

COMMUNITY BOARD #2, MANHATTAN ROLL CALL

2/19/98

FULL BOARD MEETING:

LEGEND: blank or O = Yes; N = No; AB = Abstention; X = Not Present

RESOLUTIONS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
ARLEN, Ann																	
BERGMAN, Tobi																	
BLAU, Donna Michele																	
BRAUN, Enid																	
BRISTOW, Glenn																	
CAFIERO, Charle																	
COHN, Ronald																	
CRANDELL, Keith																	
CRUMPACKER, Caroline																	
DAPOLITO, Anthony																	
DIETHER, Doris		AB															
ESDAILE, Lise																	
FEINMAN, Carol																	
FIELDS, Harriet																	
GEBALLE, Jonathan																	
GERSON, Alan Jay																	
GISKE, Emily																	
GOLD, Edward																	
GONZALEZ, Oscar J.		EXCUSED															
GOREN, Arnold L.		EXCUSED															
GREEN, Benjamin B.																	
HEARN, Anne																	
HOFFMAN, Anthony																	
HERZOG, Nina																	
IANNELLO, Helen																	
JOHNSON, Veronica		EXCUSED															
KLEIN, Honi																	
LAVINE, Rachel																	
LEES, Aubrey		EX															
MANN, Deborah		EXCUSED															
MARK-VIVERITO, M.																	
MCGRATH, Rosemary																	
MINTZ, Penny		EXCUSED															
MIRISOLA, Michael																	
NASH, Doris																	
REICHMAN, Carol																	
SANDLER, Debra S.																	
SCHWARTZ, Arthur																	
SECUNDA, Shirley																	
SHERLIP, Ruth																	
SLOTNICK, Sharon																	
SMALL, Verna		ABS															
SMITH, James																	
SWEENEY, Sean																	
TENENBAUM, Lora																	
TESSLER, Martin																	
WILCKE, Jeanne																	
WILLIAMS, Betty		EXCUSED															
YANKAY, Carol		EXCUSED															

RESOLUTIONS:

1. 74 St comm. re: Armory - passed unanimously
2. " re: Chelsea Plan - passed, 2 Abstains, with Amendments
3. " re: DCP - passed unanimously
4. TRAFFIC - re: West 106th Place - passed unanimously, w Amendments
5. " re: Mercer St Sidewalk - passed unanimously, w Changes
6. " re: Traffic Study - Route 9A - " " "
7. " re: Greenwich St - Charlie will provide changes - unanimous
8. " re: Wash St. Traffic Cents - passed unanimously
9. ZONING (1) W. Hill House - Plan " " w amendments ✓
10. " (2) Hazardous Materials " " ✓
11. " (3) Air Rights - " " ✓
12. Business Int. (1) Troset - passed unan ✓
13. " (2) Best m NY - passed " ✓
14. " (3) 129 Management - passed " ✓
15. " (4) Cafe No Mo - passed " ✓
16. " (5) Babco LLC - passed w amendment ✓
17. " (6) Cafe Spice - passed unan, with amendment ✓

COMMUNITY BOARD #2, MANHATTAN ROLL CALL

FULL BOARD MEETING: 2/19/98

LEGEND: blank or O = Yes; N = No; AB = Abstention; X = Not Present

RESOLUTIONS	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	2	3	4	5
ARLEN, Ann																	
BERGMAN, Tobi																	
BLAU, Donna Michele																	
BRAUN, Enid																	
BRISTOW, Glenn																	
CAFIERO, Charle																	
COHN, Ronald																	
CRANDELL, Keith																	
CRUMPACKER, Caroline																	
DAPOLITO, Anthony																	
DIETHER, Doris																	
ESDAILE, Lise																	
FEINMAN, Carol																	
FIELDS, Harriet																	
GEBALLE, Jonathan																	
GERSON, Alan Jay																	
GISKE, Emily																	
GOLD, Edward																	
GONZALEZ, Oscar J.																	
GOREN, Arnold L.																	
GREEN, Benjamin B.																	
HEARN, Anne																	
HOFFMAN, Anthony																	
HERZOG, Nina																	
IANNELLO, Helen																	
JOHNSON, Veronica																	
KLEIN, Honi																	
LAVINE, Rachel																	
LEES, Aubrey																	
MANN, Deborah																	
MARK-VIVERITO, M.																	
MCGRATH, Rosemary																	
MINTZ, Penny																	
MIRISOLA, Michael																	
NASH, Doris																	
REICHMAN, Carol																	
SANDLER, Debra S.																	
SCHWARTZ, Arthur																	
SECUNDA, Shirley																	
SHERLIP, Ruth																	
SLOTNICK, Sharon																	
SMALL, Verna																	
SMITH, James																	
SWEENEY, Sean																	
TENENBAUM, Lora																	
TESSLER, Martin																	
WILCKE, Jeanne																	
WILLIAMS, Betty																	
YANKAY, Carol																	

RESOLUTIONS:

- 18. BEI - Calabritto - reso. from floor because not passed at committee - passed unan.
- 19. Drew Jacobs LLC. - passed unanimously
- 20. Mekong Rest. Corp. - passed unanimously, w/ changes
- 21. Jet Simple - passed, w/ addition
- 22. No shows - passed unanimously
- 23. BCJT - " " with changes
- 24. SPY - " " " "
- 25. Von design - " " as amended (4 opposed)
- 26. Library - ✓
- 27. Arts Committee - adopted as changed - unanimously
- 28. Landmarks - 445 ① 115 Greene St - passed unanimously
- 29. ② 120 1/3 Mercer - as amended - passed unanimously
- 30. ③ 121 Prince St - passed unanimously
- 31. ④ 84-86 Wooster - passed unanimously
- 32. ⑤ 40 Grand - passed unanimously - as changed
- 33. ⑥ 435 W Bway - passed unan.
- 34. ⑦ 502 Bway - passed unan.
- 35. ⑧ 61-123 Greene St. - passed unan.

COMMUNITY BOARD #2, MANHATTAN ROLL CALL

FULL BOARD MEETING: 2/19/98

LEGEND: blank or 0 = Yes; N = No; AB = Abstention; X = Not Present

RESOLUTIONS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
ARLEN, Ann																					
BERGMAN, Tobi																					
BLAU, Donna Michele																					
BRAUN, Enid																					
BRISTOW, Glenn																					
CAFIERO, Charle																					
COHN, Ronald																					
CRANDELL, Keith																					
CRUMPACKER, Caroline																					
DAPOLITO, Anthony																					
DIETHER, Doris																					
ESDAILE, Lise																					
FEINMAN, Carol																					
FIELDS, Harriet																					
GEBALLE, Jonathan																					
GERSON, Alan Jay																					
GISKE, Emily																					
GOLD, Edward																					
GONZALEZ, Oscar J.																					
GOREN, Arnold L.																					
GREEN, Benjamin B.																					
HEARN, Anne																					
HOFFMAN, Anthony																					
HERZOG, Nina																					
IANNELLO, Helen																					
JOHNSON, Veronica																					
KLEIN, Honi																					
LAVINE, Rachel																					
LEES, Aubrey																					
MANN, Deborah																					
MARK-VIVERITO, M.																					
MCGRATH, Rosemary																					
MINTZ, Penny																					
MIRISOLA, Michael																					
NASH, Doris																					
REICHMAN, Carol																					
SANDLER, Debra S.																					
SCHWARTZ, Arthur																					
SECUNDA, Shirley																					
SHERLIP, Ruth																					
SLOTNICK, Sharon																					
SMALL, Verna																					
SMITH, James																					
SWEENEY, Sean																					
TENENBAUM, Lora																					
TESSLER, Martin																					
WILCKE, Jeanne																					
WILLIAMS, Betty																					
YANKAY, Carol																					

RESOLUTIONS:

- 18. S3 Landmarks (8) mercury - unanimous yed ✓
- 19. (9) Karzem - " ✓
- 20. (10) Silver Spurs - " ✓
- 21. (11) Ambrosia - " ✓
- 22. (12) Roogies - " ✓
- 23. (13) Drew Jacobs - " with revisions ✓
- 24. Parks (14) passed w/ 1 Abs. ✓
- 25. (15) as amended - passed (3 ABS)
- 26. (16) Moved by Keith Crandall, seconded by Schwartz - passed unan
- 27. (17) (Keith to provide)
- 28. (18) passed unan.
- 29. Waterfront (19) passed " as revised ✓
- 30. (20) passed unan.
- 31. Environment - (21) Creation Fertilizer Plant - AS REVISED - passed unan.
- 32. Social Services - passed unanimously
- 33. New Busi Reso introd by Keith - re Judson - Keith Crandall to write
- 34. passed unanimously - ~~to cancel~~ cancel ✓
- 35.